

Intro No. 48
Date 3/17/16
Reviewed by lws
Co. Attorney
Date 2/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

"A"

Sponsored by: County Administration Committee

RESOLUTION IN SUPPORT OF NEW YORK STATE LEGISLATION WITH REGARD TO SEX OFFENDER REGISTRATION

WHEREAS, on January 1996 New York State adopted the Sex Offender Registration Act (SORA) commonly known as Meghan's Law, and

WHEREAS, SORA was enacted to protect the citizens of New York State from dangerous sex offenders by requiring sex offenders to register as sex offenders in the area where they reside, and

WHEREAS, SORA has designated sex offenders in three classifications:
Level One – least likely to re-offend
Level Two – medium chance of re-offending
Level Three – high chance of re-offending

and

WHEREAS, the 20 year registration has expired, allowing hundreds of Level 1 sex offenders to be released and free to move and roam anywhere they want to go without a way of monitoring their movements, and

WHEREAS, there are 279 Level 1 sex offenders in Broome County, and

WHEREAS, members of Broome County Legislature deem this a very dangerous practice for the residents of New York State to release Level 1 sex offenders from the registration act, and

WHEREAS, there is pending legislation currently under consideration in the New York State Legislature with regard to sex offender registration:

- Assembly Bill A.9532, which requires level one sex offenders to register for life, with a right to petition for relief after 30 years; and
- Senate Bill S.6066 and companion Assembly Bill A.8503, would which extend the requirement of level one sex offenders to register as a sex offender from twenty years to thirty years and would also allow local governments to place reasonable restrictions on where a sex offender is permitted to live;

now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature commends the New York State Senate and Assembly for the introduction of A. 9532 and S.6066/A.8503, and urges the New York State Legislature to pass said legislation, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk of the Legislature shall forward a certified copy of the resolution to Governor Andrew M. Cuomo, Senate Majority Leader John J. Flanagan, Assembly Speaker Carl E. Heastie, Senator Frederick J. Akshar II, Assemblyman Clifford W. Crouch, Assemblyman Christopher S. Friend, Assemblywoman Donna A. Lupardo, and the New York State Association of Counties.

Intro No.

Date

Reviewed by
Co. Attorney

Date

5/19/18
[Signature]
5/2/2016

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: County Administration and Finance Committees

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT WITH THE BINGHAMTON-JOHNSON CITY
JOINT SEWAGE BOARD FOR WEB-HOSTING SERVICES PROVIDED BY THE BROOME
COUNTY DIVISION OF INFORMATION TECHNOLOGY FOR 2016**

WHEREAS, the Director of Information Technology requests authorization for an agreement with the Binghamton-Johnson City Joint Sewage Board for web hosting services provided by the Broome County Division of Information Technology, with revenue to the County in the amount of \$250 for the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016, now, therefore be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes an agreement with the Binghamton-Johnson City Joint Sewage Board, 4480 Vestal Road, Vestal, New York 13850 for the Broome County Division of Information Technology to provide web-hosting services for the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in consideration of said services, the Contractor shall pay the County \$250 for the term of the agreement, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the revenue hereinabove authorized shall be credited to budget line 10020001.5000426 (Miscellaneous Revenue), and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution.

Intro No. 2
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by RWS
Co. Attorney
Date 4/28/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: County Administration and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION SUPPORTING NYS SENATE BILL NO. S4964A AND NYS ASSEMBLY BILL NO. A8201 WHICH WOULD INCREASE THE COUNTIES' SHARE OF DEPARTMENT OF MOTOR VEHICLES REVENUE TO PROVIDE A MORE EQUITABLE SHARE FOR COUNTIES OPERATING LOCAL DMV OFFICES

WHEREAS, in New York State 51 of 62 counties are mandated to operate a local DMV Office, and

WHEREAS, local DMV Offices provide customer service and process many no-fee transactions on behalf of New York State, and

WHEREAS, under current law, the New York State share of all fees collected from the work performed by the county operated DMV's is 87.3%, and

WHEREAS, the remaining 12.7% county share has not been increased since 1999, yet the amount of work required by the local DMV has increased in that same time period, and

WHEREAS, state officials have repeatedly stated that lowering the property tax burden on local residents is a high priority, and

WHEREAS, increasing the county DMV revenue sharing rate with the State will not result in any increased cost or fees to local residents or taxpayers but will provide counties with the needed revenue necessary to continue to provide necessary local government services, and

WHEREAS, there is a clear inequity present when a County DMV provides all the services, including overhead and staffing to fulfill DMV needs for state residents, yet the State is paid 87.3% of the revenues generated from said services, and

WHEREAS, the Broome County Clerk and the New York State Association of County Clerks (NYS AAC) strongly support NYS Senate Bill S4964A and NYS Assembly Bill A8201 which would increase the counties' share of DMV revenue to 25%, providing a more equitable share for counties, now therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Broome County Legislature supports the above referenced bills which would increase the counties' share of DMV Revenue; providing a more equitable share for counties, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk of the Legislature shall forward a certified copy of the resolution to Governor Andrew M. Cuomo, Senate Majority Leader John J. Flanagan, Assembly Speaker Carl E. Heastie, Senator Frederick J. Akshar II, Assemblyman Clifford W. Crouch, Assemblyman Christopher S. Friend, Assemblywoman Donna A. Lupardo, and the New York State Association of Counties.

Intro No.

3

Date

5/19/16

Reviewed by
Co. Attorney

ALS

Date

4/28/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Finance Committee

**RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING DISTRIBUTION OF MORTGAGE TAX RECEIPTS PURSUANT
TO SECTION 261 OF THE TAX LAW OF THE STATE OF NEW YORK**

WHEREAS, the County Clerk and the Director of the Office of Management and Budget have presented their report concerning mortgage tax receipts for the period October 2015 through March 2016, pursuant to Section 261 of the Tax Law of the State of New York, and the same has been apportioned to the various municipalities thereto, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Director of the Office of Management and Budget be and hereby is authorized to pay the Treasurer of the City of Binghamton and to the respective Supervisors or Mayors of the 23 Towns and Villages of Broome County, those amounts listed:

SEMI-ANNUAL MORTGAGE TAX DISTRIBUTION
October 2015 through March 2016

Dickinson	Village of Port Dickinson	4,695.75
	Outside	28,237.30
Lisle	Village of Lisle	190.33
	Outside	3,981.71
Sanford	Village of Deposit	670.92
	Outside	10,009.83
Triangle	Village of Whitney Point	2,228.66
	Outside	12,165.81
Union	Village of Johnson City	31,892.48
	Village of Endicott	23,114.41
	Outside	194,397.28
Windsor	Village of Windsor	1,578.78
	Outside	32,439.23
Barker		11,656.38
Binghamton (Town)		24,020.24
Chenango		82,767.94
Colesville		16,630.99
Conklin		17,549.78
Fenton		24,767.87
Kirkwood		37,477.16
Maine		23,422.14
Nanticoke		6,346.10
Vestal		150,034.28
City of Binghamton		243,030.05
Total		983,305.42

Intro No. 4
 Date 5/19/16
 Reviewed by PLS
 Co. Attorney
 Date 4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
 BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Finance, Public Works & Transportation and Economic Development, Education & Culture Committees

RESOLUTION AMENDING THE 2016 CAPITAL IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

RESOLVED, that the 2016 Capital Improvement Program is hereby amended to create a new project for SUNY Broome Community College as follows:

<u>Code</u>	<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Estimated Construction Cost:</u>			<u>County</u>
			<u>Federal/Other*</u>	<u>State**</u>		
1920043	Core Building Rehab Phase I	\$7,300,000	\$3,400,000	\$3,900,000		0
		<u>Local Finance Law Section 11</u>			<u>How Financed:</u>	
		<u>Year Start</u>	<u>YPU</u>	<u>LFL</u>	<u>Bond</u>	<u>Current Revenue</u>
		2016	15	91	\$7,300,000	0

Description: Rehabilitation of core campus buildings
 *Donation \$3,400,000
 **Upstate Revitalization Initiative grant \$500,000
 **SUNY capital match \$3,400,000

and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the 2016 Capital Improvement Program is hereby amended to create a new project for SUNY Broome Community College as follows:

<u>Code</u>	<u>Project Name</u>	<u>Total</u>	<u>Estimated Construction Cost:</u>			<u>County</u>
			<u>Federal/Other*</u>	<u>State</u>		
1920044	Demolish Building	\$300,000	\$150,000	\$150,000		\$0
		<u>Local Finance Law Section 11</u>			<u>How Financed:</u>	
		<u>Year Start</u>	<u>YPU</u>	<u>LFL</u>	<u>Bond</u>	<u>Current Revenue</u>
		2016	10	12-a	\$300,000	\$0

Description: Building demolition
 *IDA

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Director of the Office of Management and Budget is hereby authorized to make a short term, non-interest bearing loan from the General Fund and/or the Enterprise Fund to provide sufficient cash to proceed, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Director of the Office of Management and Budget and Comptroller are hereby authorized to process any paperwork necessary to implement the intent of this Resolution.

Intro No. 5
Date 5/18/16
Reviewed by RLS
Co. Attorney _____
Date 4/28/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Finance Committee

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE ADJUSTMENT OF DELINQUENT TAXES FOR A PARCEL IN THE TOWN OF TRIANGLE

WHEREAS, the Director of Real Property Tax Service is requesting authorization for the Director of the Office of Management and Budget to execute any and all adjustments to tax bills, tax records and property records in accordance with all applicable laws for the property listed below, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby approves authorization for the Director of the Office of Management and Budget to execute any and all adjustments to tax bills, tax records and property records on the properties as follows:

Parcel Tax Map #:	008.00-1-20
Town:	Triangle
Owner	County of Broome
Address	551 Hemlock Hill Road
Reason:	Assessment went from \$67,900 to \$16,600
<u>Incorrect Tax</u>	<u>Correct Tax (plus interest and penalties)</u>
\$771.19	\$184.60

Intro No. 6
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by CDS
Co. Attorney
Date 4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Health & Human Services and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AGREEMENTS WITH LICENSED CHILD CARING INSTITUTIONS FOR FOSTER CARE, HOME FINDING AND ADOPTION SERVICES AND WITH MOTHERS & BABIES PERINATAL NETWORK FOR SUPERVISED VISITATION SERVICES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SERVICES FOR 2016-2017

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Social Services requests authorization for agreements with any or all Child Caring Institutions licensed and approved by New York State Office of Children & Family Services for foster care, home finding and adoption services at rates according to the Maximum State Reimbursement set by New York State, total amount not to exceed \$13,825,817 for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017, and

WHEREAS, said agreements are necessary to provide room, board and various services to children who require placement outside their home, and

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Social Services requests authorization for an agreement with Mothers & Babies Perinatal Network for supervised visitation services, at an amount not to exceed \$50,000 for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017, and

WHEREAS, said agreement is necessary to provide supervised visitation for foster children in any or all Child Caring Institutions, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes agreements with any or all Child Caring Institutions licensed and approved by New York State Office of Children & Family Services, including but not limited to those listed on Exhibit "A" for foster care, home finding and adoption services the Department of Social Services for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017, and

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in consideration of said services, the County shall pay the Contractors at rates according to Maximum State Reimbursement set by New York State, total amount not to exceed \$13,825,817 for the term of the agreement, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes agreements with Mothers & Babies Perinatal Network, 457 State Street, Binghamton, New York 13901 for supervised visitation services for the Department of Social Services for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in consideration of said services, the County shall pay the Contractor an amount not to exceed \$50,000 for the term of the agreement, and be it

Intro No. _____
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by _____
Co. Attorney _____
Date _____

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the payments hereinabove authorized shall be made from budget lines 35070006.6004316 (JD/PINS Institutions-ADC), 35070006.6004317 (JD Care in Institutions) and 35070006.6004313 (Foster Care Homes/Institute-ADC), and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution.

Exhibit A

BROOME COUNTY DSS CHILD CARING INSTITUTIONS – 2016 to 2017

The Astor Home for Children CA 13-121-2

6339 Mill Street, P.O. Box 5005
Rhinebeck, New York 12572-5005
Phone: (845) 876-4081

Current Rates (Per Day)

Institution: \$322.71

Berkshire Farm Center and Services for Youth CA 13-88

13640 Route 22
Canaan, New York 12029-3500
Phone: (518) 781-4567

Current Rates (Per Day)

Institution: \$261.71

Hard to Place: \$389.69

Group Home: \$241.11

Regular FBH: \$39.79

Therapeutic FBH: \$69.28

Cayuga Home for Children CA 13-150

101 Hamilton Avenue, P.O. Box 865
Auburn, New York 13021
Phone: (315) 253-5383

Current Rates (Per Day)

Institution: \$389.69

Group Home: \$337.03

TFBH: \$92.46

The Charlton School CA 13-88-1

P.O. Box 47 (322 Lake Hill Road)
Burnt Hills, New York 12027-0047
Phone: (518) 399-8182

Current Rates (Per Day)

Institution: \$247.01

The Children's Home of Wyoming Conference CA 13-99, CA 13-279

1182 Chenango Street
Binghamton, New York 13901-1696
Phone: (607) 772-6904

Current Rates (Per Day)

Institution: \$235.15

Emergency: \$282.35

Boys Group Home: \$222.73

Ardsley Group Home: \$222.32

Shelter: \$263.18

Regular FBH: \$30.35

Therapeutic FBH: \$50.81
Respite Services: Same as Daily Rates for Programs

Elmcrest Children's Center, Inc. CA 13-87

960 Salt Springs Road
Syracuse, New York 13224
Phone: (315) 446-6250
Current Rates (Per Day)
Institution: \$200.76
Critical Care: \$317.46

The William George Agency for Children's Services, Inc. CA 13-96-5

380 Freeville Road
Freeville, New York 13068
Phone: (607) 844-6460
Current Rates (Per Day)
Institution: \$197.36
Critical Care: \$271.68
Institution Sex Offender: \$306.01
Institution MR/ED: \$313.12
Institution Dual Diagnosis: \$337.13

Glove House, Inc. CA 13-464

220 Franklin Street
Elmira, New York 14904-1706
Phone: (607) 734-5238
Current Rates (Per Day)
Tioga GH: \$209.84
Regular FBH: \$25.45
Therapeutic FBH: \$70.92

Hillside Children's Center CA 13-88-2

1183 Monroe Avenue
Rochester, New York 14620-1699
Phone: (585) 256-7500
Current Rates (Per Day)
Hard to Place: \$329.29
Critical Care: \$367.42
Institution HTP Varrick: \$374.57
Institution Cross Systems: \$504.19
Institution Grow Program: \$350.55

The House of the Good Shepherd CA 13-102

1550 Champlin Avenue
Utica, New York 13502-4894
Phone: (315) 733-0436
Current Rates (Per Day)
Institution: \$230.88

Critical Care: \$365.72
Group Home: \$190.57

New Directions Youth and Family Services, Inc. CA 13-514

356 Main Street E.R.
Randolph, New York 14772
Phone: (716) 358-3636
Current Rates (Per Day)
Institution: \$229.33

Northeast Parent & Child Society CA 13-221

1 Genium Plaza
Schenectady, New York 12304
Phone: (518) 346-1284
Current Rates (Per Day)
Institution: \$263.12
Critical Care: \$401.02
Group Home: \$233.91
Therapeutic FBH: \$63.71

Parsons Child and Family Center CA 13-151

60 Academy Road
Albany, New York 12208
Phone: (518) 426-2600
Current Rates (Per Day)
Institution: \$251.56
Critical Care: \$254.46
Group Home: \$210.67

St. Anne Institute CA 13-88-3

160 North Main Avenue
Albany, New York 12206-1893
Phone: (518) 437-6500
Current Rates (Per Day)
Institution: \$194.87
Critical Care: \$333.39

Snell Farm Children's Center CA 13-168

7320 Snell Hill Road
Bath, New York 14810-7630
Phone: (607) 776-5115
Current Rates (Per Day)
Critical Care: \$346.96

Springbrook NY, Inc. CA 13-603

2705 State Highway 28

Oneonta, New York 13820-9753

Phone: (607) 286-7171

Current Rates (Per Day)

Institution: \$457.79

Little Flower Children Services, Inc. CA 13-725

2450 North Wading River Road

Wading River, NY 11792

Current Rates (Per Day)

Institution: \$299

Regular Foster Care: \$41.99

Therapeutic Foster Care: \$92.87

Supervised Visitation Program:

Mothers & Babies Perinatal Network

457 State Street

Binghamton, NY 13901

Intro No. 7
Date 5/18/16
Reviewed by Cmc
Co. Attorney
Date 5/2/14

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Health & Human Services and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING RENEWAL OF THE AGREEMENTS WITH VARIOUS PRESCHOOL SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM PROVIDERS FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH FOR 2016-2018

WHEREAS, this County Legislature, by Resolution 179 of 2014, authorized the renewal of agreements with various vendors for professional services for the Department of Health's Preschool Education Program at rates set by the New York State Department of Education and the Broome County Department of Health, for the period July 1, 2014 through June 30, 2016, and

WHEREAS, said agreements are necessary to provide various services including evaluations, services, and tuition-based preschool for the 3-5 Preschool Program, and

WHEREAS, said agreements expire by their terms on June 30, 2016, and it is desired at this time to renew said agreements on substantially similar terms and conditions, at rates set by the New York State Education Department and the Broome County Department of Health, for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2018 now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes the renewal of the agreements with various vendors as shown on Exhibit "A" and Exhibit "C" for various services for the Department of Health's Preschool Education Program for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2018, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in consideration of said services, the County shall pay the Contractors on Exhibit "A" at the rates shown on Exhibit "B" for the term of the agreements, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in consideration of said services, the County shall pay the Contractors on Exhibit "C" at the rates shown on Exhibit "D", for the term of the agreements, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the payments hereinabove authorized shall be made from budget line 25060004.various.HPSEDP, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution.

**Broome County Health Department
Children with Special Needs Programs**

**PRESCHOOL SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM (3-5) CONTRACTORS
—Related Service Providers—**

EXHIBIT A

Association for Vision Rehabilitation & Employment, Inc.

Teri Chamberlin
174 Court Street
Binghamton, NY 13901-3514
Phone: (607)724-2428

Specialty of Service: Functional Vision Assessment/Vision Services

Vendor # 14598
CA # 10-10-171(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Binghamton City School District

Special Services Department, Michele Transue
98 Oak Street, Binghamton, NY 13905
Phone: (607)762-8136 x210

*Specialty of Service: Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy,
Speech Therapy, Related Services Aide*

Vendor # 24933
CA # 10-10-81(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Building Blocks Learning Center, LLC

Michelle O'Brien
19 Robinson Road
Clinton, NY 13323
Phone: (315)853-6090 x102

Specialty of Service: Speech Therapy

Vendor # 33673
CA # 10-10-219
Account Code: 6004405

Buttercup Speech Therapy

Eileen E. Spencer / Kristin Tomaka
122 Myrtle Avenue
Johnson City, NY 13790
Phone: (607)245-6816

Specialty of Service: Speech Therapy

Vendor # 19833
CA # 10-10-183(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Leona Chalaisant

12 Dickinson Avenue
Binghamton, NY 13901
Phone: (607)765-5442

Specialty of Service: Speech Therapy

Vendor # 13936
CA # 10-10-176(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Carrie Ann Charpinsky

19 Lakeview Terrace
Binghamton, NY 13904
Phone: (607)724-5491

Specialty of Service: Speech Therapy

Vendor # 20341
CA # 10-10-186(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Chenango Forks Central School

Trish Kuhl
1 Gordon Drive
Binghamton, NY 13901
Phone: (607)648-7589

*Specialty of Service: Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy,
Speech Therapy*

Vendor # 01755
CA # 10-10-9(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Chenango Valley Nursery School

Peggy Wheeler
379 River Road
PO Box 740
Chenango Bridge, NY 13745
Phone: (607) 648-8577

Specialty of Service: Related Services Aide for Preschool

Vendor # 33378
CA # 10-10-217
Account Code: 6004405

Child's Play Occupational Therapy, PLLC

Meghan McNally
1695 Allen Glen Road
Owego, NY 13827
Phone: (607)687-4249

Specialty of Service: Occupational Therapy

Vendor # 14542
CA # 10-10-160(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Children's Therapy Network

Erick Schwartz
4104 Vestal Road Suite 101
Vestal, NY 13850
Phone: (607)235-3980

Specialty of Service: Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Speech Therapy

Vendor # 31511
CA # 10-10-216(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Kristin Cioci

54 English Road
Endicott, NY 13760
Phone: (607)658-9297

Specialty of Service: Speech Therapy

Vendor # 08528
CA # 10-10-139(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Cortland County Community Action Program, Inc.

32 N. Main Street
Cortland, NY 13045
Phone: (607)753-6781

Specialty of Service: Related Services Aide for Head Start Program

Vendor # 29019
CA # 10-10-211(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Endicott First Presbyterian Preschool

Derek Washburn
29 Grant Avenue
Endicott, NY 13760
Phone: (607)748-1744

Specialty of Service: Related Services Aide for Preschool

Vendor # 04842
CA # 10-10-621(b)
Account Code: 6004405

**Family Enrichment Network
(The Child Development Council)**

Darryl Newvine
24 Cherry Street, P.O. Box 997
Johnson City, NY 13790
Phone: (607)723-8313

Specialty of Service: Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Speech Therapy, Aides

Vendor # 13826
CA # 10-10-172(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Franziska Racker Centers, Inc.

Roger Sibley
3226 Wilkins Road
Ithaca, NY 14850-9568
Phone: (607)272-5891

Specialty of Service: Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Speech Therapy

Vendor # 14512
CA # 10-10-89(b)
Account Code: 6004405

G & E Therapies

Elizabeth Finch / Gerilyn Gault
236 Burts Road, Kirkwood, NY 13795
Phone: (877)426-3307

*Specialty of Service: Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy,
Speech Therapy*

Vendor # 09375
CA # 10-10-140(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Tishuba Guzyk

320 Upper Stella Ireland Road
Binghamton, NY 13905
Phone: (607)770-0816

Specialty of Service: Speech Therapy

Vendor # 15904
CA # 10-10-179(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Handicapped Children's Association

Stephen Sano
18 Broad Street
Johnson City, NY 13790
Phone: (607)798-7117

*Specialty of Service: Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy,
Speech Therapy, Aides*

Vendor # 00067
CA # 10-10-11(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Allison Hilliker

236 Strong Road
Owego, NY 13827
Phone: (607)765-6857

Specialty of Service: Speech Therapy

Vendor # 03921
CA # 10-10-30(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Institute for Child Development

(Children's Unit of SUNY Binghamton)
Dr. Raymond Romanczyk
P.O. Box 6000
Binghamton, NY 13902-6000
Phone: (607)777-2829

*Specialty of Service: Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy,
Speech Therapy*

Vendor # 02039
CA # 10-10-10(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Jump Start Physical Therapy, PLLC

Jessica Reinartz
23 W. Glann Road
Apalachin, NY 13732
Phone: (607)725-0889

Specialty of Service: Physical Therapy

Vendor # 29470
CA #10-10-212(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Kristin Kinney

260 Barton Road
Apalachin, NY 13732
Phone: (607)239-8048

Specialty of Service: Speech Therapy

Vendor # 24095
CA # 10-10-192(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Deborah Kollar

502 Verna Drive
Endwell, NY 13760
Phone: (607)754-5068

Specialty of Service: Occupational Therapy

Vendor # 11494
CA # 10-10-163(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Lisa Marchuska

109 Mark Court
Vestal, NY 13850
Phone: (607)238-1538

Specialty of Service: Speech Therapy

Vendor # 11491
CA # 10-10-158(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Natalie Anne Tomcho
3208 Woodberry Drive
Vestal, NY 13850
Phone: (607)760-9947
Specialty of Service: Speech Therapy

Vendor # 13176
CA # 10-10-168(b)
Account Code: 6004405

UHS-Department of Physical Therapy and Rehabilitation
Catherine Haas
10-42 Mitchell Avenue
Binghamton, NY 13903
Phone: (607)762-2100 option 3
Specialty of Service: Physical Therapy, Occupational Therapy, Speech Therapy

Vendor # 00056
CA # 10-10-21(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Vestal Central Schools
Rosalie Sullivan
201 Main Street
Vestal, NY 13850
Phone: (607)757-2211
Specialty of Service: Related Services Aide for own UPK

Vendor # 02258
CA # 10-10-210(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Brenna Weir
P.O. Box 282
Port Crane, NY 13833
Phone: (607)427-9811
Specialty of Service: Speech Therapy

Vendor # 25660
CA # 10-10-200(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Judy Girdwood Westcott
715 Chenango Street
Binghamton, NY 13901
Phone: (607)723-0628
Specialty of Service: Speech Therapy

Vendor # 13664
CA # 10-10-174(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Colleen Williams
3636 Sally Piper Road
Endwell, NY 13760
Phone: (607)785-2632
Specialty of Service: Physical Therapy

Vendor # 14543
CA # 10-10-125(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Maria Wilson
3239 Maxian Road
Binghamton, NY 13903
Phone: (607)624-3655
Specialty of Service: Speech Therapy

Vendor # 11211
CA # 10-10-159(b)
Account Code: 6004405

Windsor School District
Jason Haas
215 Main Street
Windsor, NY 13865
Phone: (607)655-8220
Specialty of Service: Occupational Therapy, Speech Therapy, Related Services Aide for own UPK

Vendor # 01881
CA # 10-10-198(b)
Account Code: 6004405

EXHIBIT B



Board of Regents | University of the State of New York

NEW YORK STATE EDUCATION DEPARTMENT

Rate Setting Unit

NYSED / Rate Setting Unit / Rates and Methodology / Non RSU Rates / County Related Services 2015-16

2015-16 County List of Approved Rates for Related Services

County	Service	Maximum Individual Related Service Half-Hour Rate	Maximum Group Related Service Half-Hour Rate
BROOME	Aide - 1:1 Related Services	\$6.25	\$0.00
BROOME	Coordination	\$35.00	\$0.00
BROOME	Counseling Services	\$35.00	\$20.00
BROOME	Interpreter	\$0.00	\$0.00
BROOME	Occupational Therapy	\$35.00	\$35.00
BROOME	Parent Counseling and Training	\$35.00	\$20.00
BROOME	Physical Therapy	\$35.00	\$35.00
BROOME	Speech Therapy	\$35.00	\$35.00
BROOME	Teacher of the Hearing Impaired	\$35.00	\$35.00
BROOME	Teacher of the Visually Impaired	\$35.00	\$35.00

Last Updated: March 22, 2016

Contact NYSED | Privacy Policy | Terms of Use | Employment | NY State

University of the State of New York - New York State Education Department

**Broome County Health Department
Children with Special Needs Programs**

**PRESCHOOL SPECIAL EDUCATION PROGRAM (3-5) CONTRACTORS
—Evaluators / Tuition-Based / and SEIT Providers—**

EXHIBIT C

Binghamton City School District

Special Services Department, Michele Transue
98 Oak Street, Binghamton, NY 13905
Phone: (607)762-8136 x210
Specialty of Service: Preschool Evaluations

Vendor # 24933
CA # 10-10-81(c)
Account Code: 6004413

Chenango Forks Central School

Trish Kuhl
1 Gordon Drive, Binghamton, NY 13901
Phone: (607)648-7589
Specialty of Service: Preschool Evaluations, SEIT

Vendor # 01755
CA # 10-10-9(c)
Account Code: 6004413 / 6004571

Children's Therapy Network

Eric Schwartz
4104 Vestal Road, Suite 101
Vestal, NY 13850
Phone: (607)235-3980
Specialty of Service: Preschool Evaluations, SEIT, TVI

Vendor # 31511
CA # 10-10-216(c)
Account Code: 6004413 / 6004571

Deposit Central School District

Laurie Thomas-McCoy
171 Second Street
Deposit, NY 13754
Phone: (607)467-1002
Specialty of Service: Preschool Evaluations

Vendor # 01862
CA # 10-10-213(c)
Account Code: 6004413

**Family Enrichment Network
(The Child Development Council)**

Darryl Newvine, Executive Director
24 Cherry Street P.O. Box 997
Johnson City, NY 13790
Phone: (607)723-8313
Specialty of Service: Preschool Evaluations, Integrated Classroom (Therapies/Aides), SEIT

Vendor # 23826
CA # 10-10-172(c)
Account Code: 6004413 / 6004571

Franziska Racker Centers, Inc.

Roger Sibley
3226 Wilkins Road
Ithaca, NY 14850-9568
Phone: (607)272-5891
Specialty of Service: Preschool Evaluations, Integrated Classroom (Therapies), SEIT

Vendor # 14512
CA # 10-10-89(c)
Account Code: 6004413 / 6004571

Handicapped Children's Association

Stephen Sano, Executive Director
18 Broad Street
Johnson City, NY 13790
Phone: (607)798-7117

Vendor # 00067
CA # 10-10-11(c)
Account Code: 6004413 / 6004571

Specialty of Service: Preschool Evaluations, Integrated Classroom (Therapies/Aides), SEIT

Institute for Child Development
(Children's Unit of SUNY Binghamton)
Dr. Raymond Romanczyk
P.O. Box 6000
Binghamton, NY 13902-6000
Phone: (607)777-2829

Vendor # 02039
CA # 10-10-10-(c)
Account Code: 6004413 / 6004571

Specialty of Service: Preschool Evaluations, Special Education Classroom (Therapies)

EXHIBIT D

2015-16 Preschool 4410 Evaluation Rates

County	English Psychological	Bilingual Psychological	English Social History Evaluation	Bilingual Social History Evaluation	English Physician Evaluation Rate	Physician Evaluation Bilingual Rate	English Non-Physician Evaluation Rate	Non-Physician Evaluation Bilingual Rate
Albany	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Allegany	\$240	\$313	\$143	\$186	\$178	\$224	\$163	\$198
Broome	\$249	\$328	\$148	\$193	\$189	\$235	\$168	\$209
Cattaraugus	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Cayuga	\$233	\$304	\$140	\$180	\$173	\$214	\$158	\$193
Chautauqua	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Chemung	\$240	\$313	\$143	\$186	\$178	\$224	\$163	\$198
Chenango	\$285	\$369	\$163	\$212	\$208	\$259	\$189	\$235
Clinton	\$240	\$313	\$143	\$186	\$178	\$224	\$163	\$198
Columbia	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Cortland	\$233	\$304	\$140	\$180	\$173	\$214	\$158	\$193
Delaware	\$285	\$369	\$163	\$212	\$208	\$259	\$189	\$235
Dutchess	\$270	\$350	\$158	\$205	\$199	\$250	\$178	\$224
Erie	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Essex	\$219	\$286	\$133	\$172	\$168	\$209	\$147	\$182
Franklin	\$240	\$313	\$143	\$186	\$178	\$224	\$163	\$198
Fulton	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Genesee	\$240	\$313	\$143	\$186	\$178	\$224	\$163	\$198
Greene	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Hamilton	\$240	\$313	\$143	\$186	\$178	\$224	\$163	\$198
Herkimer	\$230	\$301	\$138	\$178	\$173	\$214	\$153	\$194
Jefferson	\$230	\$301	\$138	\$178	\$173	\$214	\$153	\$194
Lewis	\$230	\$301	\$138	\$178	\$173	\$214	\$153	\$194
Livingston	\$240	\$313	\$138	\$181	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Madison	\$240	\$311	\$143	\$183	\$178	\$224	\$158	\$199
Monroe	\$240	\$313	\$138	\$181	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Montgomery	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Nassau	\$291	\$377	\$168	\$219	\$214	\$265	\$194	\$240
New York City	\$306	\$399	\$183	\$239	\$229	\$285	\$203	\$254
Niagara	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Oneida	\$230	\$301	\$138	\$178	\$173	\$214	\$153	\$194
Onondaga	\$240	\$311	\$143	\$183	\$178	\$224	\$158	\$199
Ontario	\$240	\$313	\$138	\$181	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Orange	\$285	\$369	\$163	\$212	\$208	\$259	\$189	\$235
Orleans	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Oswego	\$230	\$301	\$138	\$178	\$173	\$214	\$153	\$194
Otsego	\$285	\$369	\$163	\$212	\$208	\$259	\$189	\$235
Putnam	\$270	\$350	\$158	\$205	\$199	\$250	\$178	\$224
Rensselaer	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Rockland	\$291	\$377	\$168	\$219	\$214	\$270	\$194	\$240
St. Lawrence	\$240	\$313	\$143	\$186	\$178	\$224	\$163	\$198
Saratoga	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Schenectady	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Schoharie	\$240	\$311	\$138	\$178	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Schuyler	\$240	\$313	\$143	\$186	\$178	\$224	\$163	\$198
Seneca	\$233	\$304	\$140	\$180	\$173	\$214	\$158	\$193
Steuben	\$240	\$313	\$143	\$186	\$178	\$224	\$163	\$198
Suffolk	\$291	\$377	\$168	\$219	\$214	\$265	\$194	\$240
Sullivan	\$285	\$369	\$163	\$212	\$208	\$259	\$189	\$235
Tioga	\$249	\$328	\$148	\$193	\$189	\$235	\$168	\$209
Tompkins	\$233	\$304	\$140	\$180	\$173	\$214	\$158	\$193
Ulster	\$285	\$369	\$163	\$212	\$208	\$259	\$189	\$235
Warren	\$219	\$286	\$133	\$172	\$168	\$209	\$147	\$182
Washington	\$219	\$286	\$133	\$172	\$168	\$209	\$147	\$182
Wayne	\$240	\$313	\$138	\$181	\$178	\$219	\$158	\$199
Westchester	\$291	\$377	\$168	\$219	\$214	\$270	\$194	\$240
Wyoming	\$240	\$313	\$143	\$186	\$178	\$224	\$163	\$198
Yates	\$233	\$304	\$140	\$180	\$173	\$214	\$158	\$193

Intro No.

8

Date

5/18/16

Reviewed by
Co. Attorney

CMS

Date

5/2/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Health & Human Services and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING REVISION OF THE OPIOID PRESCRIPTION REDUCTION BY ACADEMIC DETAILING PROGRAM GRANT FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH AND ADOPTING A REVISED PROGRAM BUDGET FOR 2016-2017

WHEREAS, this County Legislature, by Resolution 52 of 2016, authorized and approved the Opioid Prescription Reduction by Academic Detailing Program Grant for the Department of Health and adopted a program budget in the amount of \$35,000 for the period April 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017, and

WHEREAS, said program will use academic detailing to modify opioid prescribing behavior among healthcare providers within primary & urgent care, neuro, orthopedic & general surgery; pain management; and dentistry, and

WHEREAS, it is necessary at this time to revise said program to reflect an increase in the amount of \$3,000 in grant appropriations, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes a revision of the Opioid Prescription Reduction by Academic Detailing Program Grant to reflect an increase of \$3,000 for the period April 1, 2016 through March 31, 2017, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby approves and adopts the revised program budget annexed hereto as Exhibit "A" in the total amount of \$38,000, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that Resolution 52 of 2016, to the extent consistent herewith, shall remain in full force and effect, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby authorized to execute any such agreements, documents or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered (with the approval of the grantor agency) to reduce the time period of the grant provided there is no change in the grant budget or extend the terms of the grant agreement for the purpose of expending any unexpended grant funds, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative (including the Director of Management and Budget and/or Comptroller) is hereby authorized to make any transfers of funds within this grant budget provided that employee head count is not increased, the County's contribution is not increased, and the salary rate or salary total for a position is not changed.

Exhibit A

Broome County Health Department
Opioid Prescription Reduction by Academic Detailing
4/1/16-3/31/17

Department Code: 25010004
Project: 2510520

	4/1/16-3/31/17		4/1/16-3/31/17
	Current Budget	Proposed Changes	Proposed Budget
<u>Appropriations</u>			
Contractual Expenditures			
6004146 SUBCONTRACTED PROGRAM EXPENSE	35,000.00	-	35,000.00
6004573 OTHER FEES FOR SERVICE		3,000.00	3,000.00
Total Contractual Expenditures	35,000.00	3,000.00	38,000.00
Total Expenditures	35,000.00	3,000.00	38,000.00
Revenue			
5000531 Gifts and Donations	35,000.00	3,000.00	38,000.00
Total Revenue	35,000.00	3,000.00	38,000.00

Intro No. 9
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by RWS
Co. Attorney
Date 4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Personnel, Public Safety & Emergency Services and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A PERSONNEL CHANGE REQUEST FOR THE OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES

RESOLVED, that in accordance with request contained in PCR# 16-146 from the Director of the Office of Emergency Services, this County Legislature hereby creates the position of Deputy Director of Emergency Services, Grade C, Union Code 02 Admin, minimum annual salary \$64,727, 40 hours weekly, budget line 20010003.6001000.2010036.1011 effective June 1, 2016.

Intro No. 10
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by RWS
Co. Attorney
Date 4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Personnel, Health & Human Services and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A PERSONNEL CHANGE REQUEST FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

RESOLVED, that in accordance with a request contained in PCR# 16-144 from the Director of Public Health, this County Legislature hereby changes the position of Deputy Director of Public Health, Grade D, Union Code 02 Admin, minimum annual salary \$67,819 FTE, 20 hours weekly, budget line 25010004.6001001.2510423.1011 to Deputy Director of Public Health, Grade D, Union Code 02 Admin, minimum annual salary \$67,819 FTE, 20 hours weekly, budget line 25010004.6001001.2510523.1011 effective May 23, 2016.

Intro No. 11
 Date 5/19/16
 Reviewed by RLS
 Co. Attorney
 Date 4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
 BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Personnel, Finance and Public Safety & Emergency Services Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A PERSONNEL CHANGE REQUEST AND BUDGET TRANSFER FOR THE OFFICE OF THE DISTRICT ATTORNEY

RESOLVED, that in accordance with a request contained in PCR 16-145, this County Legislature hereby authorizes the position of District Attorney, Union Code 01 Elected & Misc., at budget line 06000001.6001000.1010 at a salary of \$159,900, to be changed to District Attorney, Union Code 01 Elected & Misc., at budget line 06000001.6001000.1010 at a salary of \$183,350 effective April 1, 2016 in accordance with a New York State mandated salary increase, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in order to cover the mandated salary increase this County Legislature hereby authorizes the Director of the Office of Management and Budget to make the following budget modifications and transfer:

Department	Account	Fund	Title	Amount	
06000001	6001000	1010	Salaries Full-time	\$ 17 588	Increase
06000001	6008001	1010	Retirement	\$ 3,307	Increase
06000001	6008002	1010	FICA	\$ 1,346	Increase
90000099	6004581	1010	Contingent Account	\$ 22,241	Decrease

Intro No. 12
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by RLS
Co. Attorney
Date 4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Personnel, Public Works & Transportation and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING HIRING CYNTHIA PADDICK AS TRAFFIC ENGINEER AT ABOVE THE MINIMUM SALARY FOR THE BINGHAMTON METROPOLITAN TRANSPORTATION STUDY

WHEREAS, Executive Director of the Binghamton Metropolitan Transportation Study has recommended the hiring of Cynthia Paddick as a temporary Traffic Engineer, CSEA Part-time, Grade 23, Step 5 at an hourly rate of \$30.9742 (minimum hourly rate of \$26.7186), and

WHEREAS, Cynthia Paddick is qualified as a Traffic Engineer and has experience and education to justify said salary, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes the hiring of Cynthia Paddick, 1 Jessie Drive, Binghamton, New York 13901 as Traffic Engineer, CSEA Part-time, Grade 23, Step 5 at an hourly rate of \$30.9742 (minimum hourly rate of \$26,7186) budget line 37000007.6001002.3710086.1011, effective May 23, 2016.

Intro No. 13
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by Lind Schmidt
Co. Attorney
Date 5/2/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Public Safety & Emergency Services and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ACCEPTANCE OF LAW ENFORCEMENT OFFICER REIMBURSEMENT AGREEMENT PROGRAM FUNDING FOR THE DIVISION OF SECURITY FOR 2016

WHEREAS, the Director of Security requests authorization to accept Law Enforcement Officer Reimbursement Agreement Program Funding in the amount of \$55,000 for the period April 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016, and

WHEREAS, said program grant provides reimbursement for a law enforcement presence and capability that adequately insures the safety of passengers and national security, pursuant to 49 U.S.C. s/s 44903c and 49 C.F.R. Part 1542 at the Greater Binghamton Airport, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes and approves acceptance of \$55,000 from Transportation Security Administration, TSA/LEO Reimbursement Office, 601 S. 12th Street, Arlington, Virginia 20598 for the Division of Security's Law Enforcement Officer Reimbursement Agreement Program Funding for the period April 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered (with the approval of the grantor agency) to reduce the time period of the grant provided there is no change in the grant budget or extend the terms of the grant agreement for the purpose of expending any unexpended grant funds, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby authorized to make any transfers of funds required within this grant budget provided that employee head count is not increased, the County's contribution is not increased, and the salary rate or salary total for a position is not changed.

Intro No.

14

Date

5/19/16

Reviewed by
Co. Attorney

CDS

Date

4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Public Safety & Emergency Services and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ACCEPTANCE OF A COLLABORATIVE PROBLEM SOLVING PROGRAM GRANT FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PROBATION AND ADOPTING A PROGRAM BUDGET FOR 2016

WHEREAS, the Director of Probation requests authorization to accept a Collaborative Problem Solving Program Grant and adopt a program budget in the amount of \$50,000 for the period January 1, 2016 through September 30, 2016, and

WHEREAS, said program grant provides funding to train staff on how to communicate, relate and plan with youth who have behavioral challenges in order to create a uniform regional model of youth interaction that is evidence-based, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes and approves acceptance of \$50,000 from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services, Federal Juvenile Accountability Block Funds, 80 South Swan Street, Albany, New York 12210 for the Department of Probation's Collaborative Problem Solving Program Grant for the period January 1, 2016 through September 30, 2016, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby approves and adopts the program budget annexed hereto as Exhibit "A" in the total amount of \$50,000, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered (with the approval of the grantor agency) to reduce the time period of the grant provided there is no change in the grant budget or extend the terms of the grant agreement for the purpose of expending any unexpended grant funds, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby authorized to make any transfers of funds required within this grant budget provided that employee head count is not increased, the County's contribution is not increased, and the salary rate or salary total for a position is not changed.

Exhibit A

Broome County Probation Department

Collaborative Problem Solving Budget (Think Kids)

Probation Department 21010003 Project #2110070 Fund 1011

January 1, 2016 – September 30, 2016

APPROPRIATIONS

Contractual Lines

6004254	Contracted Training/Consultant Services (billed per hour)	\$40,000
6004164	Non-Employee Travel, Hotel and Meals-lodging for consultants	\$ 6,550
6004111	Building and Land Rentals (Venue)	\$ 3,450
	<u>TOTAL CONTRACTUAL</u>	<u>\$50,000</u>

REVENUE

5000814	Probation Services	\$50,000
	<u>TOTAL REVENUE</u>	<u>\$50,000</u>

Intro No. 15
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by Leid Schmitt
Co. Attorney
Date 5/2/2016

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Public Safety & Emergency Services, Personnel and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ACCEPTANCE OF A GUN INVOLVED VIOLENCE ELIMINATION (GIVE) PROGRAM GRANT FOR THE OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF AND ADOPTING A PROGRAM BUDGET FOR 2016-2017

WHEREAS, the Sheriff requests authorization to accept a Gun Involved Violence Elimination (GIVE) Program Grant and adopt a program budget in the amount of \$104,580 for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017, and

WHEREAS, said program grant provides funding to support coordinated reduction/prevention activities with the goal of reducing violent firearm-related offenses, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes and approves acceptance of \$95,122 from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services, 80 S. Swan Street, Albany, New York 12210 for the Office of the Sheriff's Gun Involved Violence Elimination (GIVE) Program Grant for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby approves and adopts the program budget annexed hereto as Exhibit "A" in the total amount of \$104,580, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered (with the approval of the grantor agency) to reduce the time period of the grant provided there is no change in the grant budget or extend the terms of the grant agreement for the purpose of expending any unexpended grant funds, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby authorized to make any transfers of funds required within this grant budget provided that employee head count is not increased, the County's contribution is not increased, and the salary rate or salary total for a position is not changed.

Exhibit A

GRANT NAME: GIVE Initiative 16/17
DEPARTMENT: Sheriff's Office
TERM: 07/01/2016-6/30/2017
DEPT. ID: 23020403
PROJECT CODE: 2310138

PERSONAL SERVICES

	<u>Proposed Budget</u>
6001000 Salaries - F/T	\$ 63,697
6001012 Holiday Pay	\$ 3,321
Total Personal Services	\$ 67,018

FRINGE BENEFITS

6008001 State Retirement	\$ 13,404
6008002 Social Security	\$ 5,127
6008006 Life Insurance	\$ 15
6008007 Health Insurance	\$ 17,885
6008010 Disability	\$ 131
Total Fringe Benefits (see note below)	\$ 36,562

Sub-total Personnel Cost	\$ 103,580
--------------------------	------------

TRAVEL

6004161 Travel, Hotel, and Meals	\$ 1,000
TOTAL EXPENSE BUDGET	\$ 104,580

REVENUE

5000808 Other State Aid	\$ 95,122
5000562 Transfer from General Fund	\$ 9,458
TOTAL REVENUE	\$ 104,580

Note:

Grant funds \$27,104 of budgeted Fringe Benefits, unfunded portion of \$9,458 to be paid by County.

Broome County Sheriff's Office
GIVE Initiative 16-17
7/1/16-6/30/17

Position Title	Grade/Unit	Previous Year (7/1/14-6/30/15)	Current Year (7/1/15-6/30/16)	Next Year (7/1/16-6/30/17)
Corrections Officer	Senior/2012 AFSCME	1.0	1.0	1.0
Total Full Time Positions		1.0	1.0	1.0

Position funded by GIVE Initiative 15/16 through 6/30/16

Intro No. 16
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by [Signature]
Co. Attorney
Date 6/2/2016

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Public Safety & Emergency Services and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ACCEPTANCE OF THE NYSDCJS MARINE PROGRAM GRANT FOR THE OFFICE OF THE SHERIFF AND ADOPTING A PROGRAM BUDGET FOR 2016-2017

WHEREAS, the Sheriff requests authorization to accept a NYSDCJS Marine Program Grant and adopt a program budget in the amount of \$20,000 for the period May 1, 2016 through April 30, 2017, and

WHEREAS, said program grant provides funding to purchase marine search and rescue equipment, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes and approves acceptance of \$20,000 from the New York State Division of Criminal Justice Services, 80 S. Swan Street, Albany, New York 12210 for the Office of the Sheriff's NYSDCJS Marine Program Grant for the period May 1, 2016 through April 30, 2017, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby approves and adopts the program budget annexed hereto as Exhibit "A" in the total amount of \$20,000, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered (with the approval of the grantor agency) to reduce the time period of the grant provided there is no change in the grant budget or extend the terms of the grant agreement for the purpose of expending any unexpended grant funds, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby authorized to make any transfers of funds required within this grant budget provided that employee head count is not increased, the County's contribution is not increased, and the salary rate or salary total for a position is not changed.

Exhibit A

GRANT NAME: NYDCIS Marine Grant
DEPARTMENT: Sheriff's Office
TERM: 5/1/16-4/30/17
DEPT. ID: 23020403
PROJECT CODE: 2310137

EXPENDITURES

6002708 Law Enforcement Equipment	\$	20,000
TOTAL EXPENSE BUDGET	\$	<u>20,000</u>

REVENUE

5000808 Other State Aid	\$	20,000
TOTAL REVENUE	\$	<u>20,000</u>

Intro No. 17
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by P. W. D. H.
Co. Attorney
Date 4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Public Works & Transportation and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT WITH C & S ENGINEERS FOR PROFESSIONAL CONSULTANT ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF PUBLIC WORKS FOR 2013-2016

WHEREAS, this County Legislature, by Resolution 403 of 2013, as amended by Resolutions 382 of 2014 and 20 of 2015, authorized an agreement with C & S Engineers for professional consultant engineering services for the Department of Public Works at a cost not to exceed \$482,532, for the period November 1, 2013 through June 30, 2016, and

WHEREAS, said agreement is necessary for a 2013 CIP Bridge Rehab/Replacement Project (River Road Bridge BIN 3350020 over NYS&W Railroad), and

WHEREAS, it is necessary to authorize the amendment of said agreement extend the period through August 31, 2017 at no additional cost to the County, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes an amendment to the agreement with C & S Engineers, 499 Col. Eileen Collins Blvd., Syracuse, New York 13212, to extend the period through August 31, 2017 for the Department of Public Works 2013 CIP Bridge Rehab/Replacement Project (River Road Bridge BIN 3350020 over NYS&W Railroad), and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that Resolutions 403 of 2013, 382 of 2014 and 20 of 2015, to the extent consistent herewith, shall remain in full force and effect, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution.

Intro No. 18
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by RKH
Co. Attorney
Date 7/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Public Works & Transportation and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE IMPLEMENTATION AND FUNDING IN THE FIRST INSTANCE 100% OF THE FEDERAL-AID AND STATE "MARCHISELLI" PROGRAM-AID ELIGIBLE COSTS OF A TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL-AID PROJECT AND APPROPRIATING FUNDS THEREFORE IN RELATION TO THE BRIDGE STEEL PRESERVATION PROJECT

WHEREAS, a Project for the Broome County Bridge Structural Steel Preservation Project, P.I.N. 9753.79 (the "Project") is eligible for funding under Title 23 U.S. Code, as amended, that calls for the apportionment of costs for such program to be borne at the ratio of 80% Federal funds and 20% non-Federal funds, and

WHEREAS, the County of Broome desires to advance the Project by making a commitment of 100% of the Federal share of the costs of the Preliminary Engineering/Design and Construction and Construction Supervision and Inspection work, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Broome County Legislature hereby approves the above-subject project, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Broome County Legislature hereby authorizes the County of Broome to pay in the first instance 100% of the Federal and non-Federal share of the cost of the Construction and Construction Supervision and Inspection work for the Project or portions thereof, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the sum of \$1,389,000 is hereby appropriated from the 2015 Capital Improvement Program, Project 2920074 and the 2016 Capital Improvement Program, Project 2920078 (Bridge Structural Steel Cleaning/Painting-Design), to cover the cost of the additional project phases, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in the event the full Federal and non-Federal share costs of the project exceeds the amount appropriated above, the Broome County Legislature shall convene as soon as possible to appropriate said excess amount immediately upon the notification by the New York State Department of Transportation thereof, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive of the County of Broome be and is hereby authorized to execute all necessary Agreements, certifications or reimbursement requests for Federal Aid and/or Marchiselli Aid on behalf of the County of Broome with the New York State Department of Transportation in connection with the advancement or approval of the Project and providing for the administration of the Project and the municipality's first instance funding of project costs and permanent funding of the local share of Federal-aid and State-aid eligible Project costs and all Project costs within appropriations therefore that are not so eligible, and be it

Intro No.

18

Date

5/19/16

Reviewed by
Co. Attorney

Date

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

FURTHER RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this Resolution be filed with the New York State Commissioner of Transportation by attaching it to any necessary Agreement in connection with the Project, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution.

Intro No. 19
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by [Signature]
Co. Attorney
Date 5/2/2016

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Public Works & Transportation and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT WITH MCFARLAND JOHNSON INC., FOR PROFESSIONAL ENGINEERING SERVICES FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION FOR 2016

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Aviation requests authorization for an agreement with McFarland Johnson, Inc., for professional services for the Department of Aviation at a cost not to exceed \$15,000 for the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016, and

WHEREAS, said services are necessary for the administration of the Passenger Facility Charge Program, and

WHEREAS, this County Legislature, by Resolution 309 of 2011 authorized an agreement with McFarland Johnson Inc., for professional engineering services on an as-needed basis for the period November 1, 2011 through August 31, 2016, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes an agreement with McFarland Johnson Inc., P.O. Box 1980, Binghamton, New York 13902 for professional engineering services outlined on Exhibit "A" for the Department of Aviation for the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in consideration of said services, the County shall pay the Contractor at the rates listed on Exhibit "B" total amount not to exceed \$15,000 for the term of the agreement, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the payments hereinabove authorized shall be made from budget line 28010005.6004255.2010 (Other Professional Services), and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution.

EXHIBIT "A"

TASK ORDER NO. 16

**GREATER BINGHAMTON AIRPORT
BROOME COUNTY, NY**

PASSENGER FACILITY CHARGE PROGRAM ADMINISTRATION (2016)

The project involves the administration of the Passenger Facility Charge (PFC) program at the Greater Binghamton Airport (BGM) through calendar year 2016.

The existing PFC program allows BGM to impose and use funds as permitted by Title 14 of the Code of Federal Regulations, Part 158, *Passenger Facility Charges*. The goals of this project are to assist the County of Broome (SPONSOR) with management of the PFC Program which provides funds for the local share of approved Airport Improvement Program (AIP) capital improvement projects as well as additional projects deemed eligible by the Federal Aviation Administration (FAA). It is understood that the SPONSOR will resume management of the PFC Program upon completion of this assignment, including the reporting of collections, physical project completion and financial completion to the FAA until all projects are physically completed, all related bonds have been retired, and all funds approved have been collected. Professional services to be provided by McFarland Johnson (CONSULTANT) shall include the following:

A. ADMINISTRATION/PROJECT MANAGEMENT:

The focus of this task is to maintain effective communication with Airport Management and the SPONSOR, manage the project budget, and coordinate the timing of all tasks within the project to ensure completion within the project schedule. Specific subtasks will include:

- a. Preparation of a project plan and overall project schedule
- b. Internal coordination meetings
- c. Up to one (1) meeting between CONSULTANT and SPONSOR will be conducted at BGM
- d. Up to one (1) teleconference will occur between CONSULTANT, SPONSOR, and FAA
- e. Drafting of miscellaneous agency correspondence

B. SELECTION OF FUTURE PFC PROJECTS & MAINTENANCE OF CASHFLOW PROJECTIONS:

This task includes assisting the SPONSOR in identifying projects for inclusion in the PFC program and maintenance of cash flow projections for PFC collections at BGM. Specific subtasks will include:

- a. Review of the SPONSORS goals and Airport Capital Improvement Plan (ACIP) for the next five years as well as examine projects that have been completed in previous years, as applicable.
- b. Recommend a strategy for selecting projects to include in the PFC Program, as well as a strategy associated with timing for the submittal of PFC Notice of Intent documentation.
- c. Provide the SPONSOR with an opinion of PFC eligibility for projects considered for inclusion in the PFC Program.
- d. Assist the SPONSOR in selecting a final list of projects for the PFC Program for all Notice of Intent submittals and determining the funding method for each project (i.e., pay-as-you-go or bonding).
- e. Prepare cashflow projections for each year of the PFC Program based on previously approved PFC projects and those selected for forthcoming Notice of Intents submittals. Annual and quarterly enplanements forecasts will be utilized to identify anticipated PFC collections at BGM.

C. PREPARATION OF NOTICE OF INTENT DOCUMENTATION:

This task includes the preparation of documents required for up to one (1) PFC Notice of Intent submittal to the FAA, as well as the required review of draft and final documents by the SPONSOR, FAA, and other stakeholders. The PFC Notice of Intents will be completed in accordance with 14 CFR 158.30, *PFC Authorization at Non-Hub Airports*. Per these requirements, the following subtasks will be completed:

1. **Airline Coordination:** This subtask involves attendance at the consultation meeting held with the airlines maintaining or planned for a significant business interest at BGM, regarding projects proposed for submittal as part of a PFC Notice of Intent. The SPONSOR will be required to develop all documentation required for the meeting, including notices to the air carriers and presentation materials based on application documentation provided by the CONSULTANT in advance. CONSULTANT will attend one (1) airline coordination meeting to assist the SPONSOR with answering any questions that may arise during the meeting.

2. **Compilation of Draft PFC Notice of Intent Documents:** Using documentation compiled through the previous tasks and subtasks, this subtask will result in the preparation of the new PFC Notice of Intent request. As noted, the PFC Notice of Intent will be completed in accordance with 14 CFR 159.30. The following items will be included in the submittal:
 - a. A completed FAA Form 5500-1, PFC Application (latest edition) without attachments except as required below.
 - b. Project information (in the form and manner prescribed by the FAA) including project title, PFC funds sought, PFC level sought, project description and, if an existing Airport Improvement Program (AIP) grant already covers a project, the grant agreement number.
 - c. If the proposed projects are not covered by an existing AIP grant, a description of how this project meets the adequate justification of 14 CFR 158.17.
 - d. A copy of all documents provided from and received by the SPONSOR during the air carrier consultation and public comment processes (as defined by 14 CFR 158.23 and .24) and the SPONSOR'S response to any disagreements.
 - e. A signed statement by the SPONSOR certifying that the agency will comply with the assurances set forth in the FAA Form 5500-1.

This subtask will also include the compilation of documentation regarding the status of the Airport Layout Plan, environmental and airspace findings for each project. If these findings have not been made yet, it will be noted accordingly. This subtask does not include the completion of documentation for environmental reviews, the completion of airspace analysis, or pen & ink changes to the approved Airport Layout Plan.

D. PREPARATION OF PFC AMENDMENT DOCUMENTATION:

This task includes the preparation of documents required for up to two (2) submittals of amendments to the FAA for projects previously approved to impose and use PFCs at BGM. This task will also include the required review of draft and final documents by the SPONSOR, FAA, and other stakeholders (as applicable). The amendment documentation will be completed in accordance with 14 CFR 158.37; *Amendment of Approved PFC*. Per these requirements, the following subtasks will be completed:

1. *Update Project Costs for Amendments:* Under this subtask, projects completed utilizing funds approved for collection and use in previous PFC Applications will be analyzed to determine shortfalls or balances in project funding and to determine if projects remain applicable. These costs will be updated in the PFC account cash flow documents As stated in 14 CFR 158.37, airline consultation and public coordination will only be required for an amendment where there is a change in scope or where the total PFC portion of a project cost is greater than \$1,000,000 and the proposed increase in the PFC portion of project costs is greater than 25%. Should airline consultation and public coordination be required for an amendment to a project, the completion of the amendment and the required coordination will require concurrently with coordination for a new PFC Notice of Intent.
2. *Prepare Amendment Request Form Report:* This subtask will include preparation of an Amendment Request Form Report for all previous PFC Applications and Notices of Intent where projects will be amended. This task will include the completion and submittal of a report for all projects identified in the previous subtask, as well as the preparation of an FAA Form 5500-1 to accompany each Amendment Request Form Report.

SCHEDULE

The proposed schedule will include administration of the Greater Binghamton Airport's Passenger Facility Charge Program through 2016. During this time, up to one PFC Notice of Intent application is anticipated for submission to the FAA. The schedule for the submission of documents will be coordinated with the Airport and will be based on funding priorities at the time. It is anticipated that a complete PFC Notice of Intent, from start to acknowledgement by the FAA, will take up to six (6) months.

ASSUMPTIONS

- PFC Notice of Intent will be prepared in accordance with 14 CFR 158.30. The submission of a complete PFC Application (outside of a PFC Notice of Intent) will be considered out of scope work and will be subject to a separate or supplemental agreement.
- Amendment documentation will be prepared in accordance with FAR Part 158.37.

- The completion of any required airline consultation and public coordination efforts for projects to be amended will be completed by the SPONSOR concurrently with similar airline consultation and public coordination efforts for PFC Notice of Intent applications.
- Any projects that will be considered "Impose Only" will require the submission of "Use Only" documentation within three years of the start of PFC collections. The submission of "Use Only" documentation will be considered out of scope work if completed after the submission of the PFC Notice of Intent and will be subject to a separate or supplemental agreement.
- SPONSOR will be responsible for management of the established PFC program, including reporting of collections, physical project completion and financial completion to the FAA until all projects are physically completed, all related bonds have been retired, and all funds approved have been collected.
- All airline meetings will be conducted at BGM.
- The SPONSOR will be responsible for the development and distribution of all public notice documents as required under 14 CFR 158. Public advertisements will be the responsibility of the SPONSOR.
- No meetings will be included other than those specifically identified in this Scope of Services.
- The SPONSOR will request that the FAA provide the CONSULTANT with access to the Airports External Portal (AEP) for BGM.

EXHIBIT B
PFC Program Administration

Broome County

Proposal (Updated)
March 17, 2016

FEE SUMMARY



	DESIGN / PLANNING SERVICES
1. DIRECT TECHNICAL LABOR	\$4,722.00
2. ESTIMATED OVERHEAD EXPENSES AND PAYROLL BURDEN Based on Percentage of Direct Salary Cost (exclusive of Premium Pay) with the estimated Percentage being 175.00 %	\$8,263.50
3. SUBTOTAL OF ITEMS 1 & 2	\$12,985.50
4. FIXED FEE / PROFIT	\$1,947.83
5. DIRECT EXPENSES	\$66.00
6. SUBCONSULTANT COSTS	
7. SUBCONTRACT COSTS - (ESTIMATE)	
8. OVERTIME PREMIUM	
9. TOTAL FEE ESTIMATE	\$14,999.33

10. TOTAL FEE FOR ALL SERVICES

\$15,000

NOTE: Authorized hours worked in excess of forty per week are subject to a premium time charge

PFC Program Administration

Broome County

Proposal (Updated)
March 17, 2016



McFarland Johnson

McFARLAND-JOHNSON LABOR RATES

DIRECT TECHNICAL LABOR

<u>CLASSIFICATION</u>	<u>CURRENT AVG. RATE</u>	<u>PROJECT AVG. RATE</u>	<u>2016 MAX. RATE</u>
Vice President (VP)	\$92.95	\$92.95	\$105.00
Regional Office/Division Manager (DM)	\$65.80	\$65.80	\$65.80
Senior Project Manager (SPM)	\$62.90	\$62.90	\$73.60
Sr. Project Environment Analyst/Planner (SPE/P)	\$48.34	\$48.34	\$55.60
Project Environment Analyst/Planner (PE/P)	\$44.65	\$44.65	\$48.50
Senior Environment Analyst/Planner (SE/P)	\$37.42	\$37.42	\$41.22
Junior Environment Analyst/Planner (JE/P)	\$25.85	\$25.85	\$30.34
Senior Technician (ST)	\$29.85	\$29.85	\$32.50
Technician (T)	\$26.18	\$26.18	\$28.00
Junior Technician (JT)	\$14.40	\$14.40	\$14.40

Assume Notice to Proceed: 4/1/2016
Design Project Duration (months): 9
Assume Salary Escalation: 4.0%

Year	Compounded Escalation Factor	% Work in year	Effective %
2016	1.000	100.0%	100.0%
2017	1.040		
2018	1.082		
		100.0%	100.0%

PFC Program Administration

Broome County

**Proposal (Updated)
March 17, 2016**



McFarland Johns

DIRECT COSTS

	DESIGN / PLANNING SERVICES
Travel Related Costs:	
Vehicle Cost Plus Fuel Lodging and Meals Per Diem	\$35
Reproduction	
CADD Plots Prints Photocopies	
Photo Costs	
Telephone/Fax:	
Postage/Delivery	\$31
Miscellaneous	
	\$66
	\$66

Intro No. 20
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by John D. Schiff
Co. Attorney
Date 5/2/2016

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Public Works & Transportation and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING ACCEPTANCE OF FEDERAL AVIATION ADMINISTRATION FUNDING FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF AVIATION

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Aviation requests authorization to accept Federal Aviation Administration Funding in the amount not to exceed \$9,000,000 for the Department of Aviation's Runway 16/34 Rehabilitation Project, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes and approves acceptance of an amount not to exceed \$9,000,000 from the Federal Aviation Administration for the Department of Aviation's Runway 16/34 Project, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the revenue hereinabove authorized shall be credited to revenue line 28010005.5000920.2012.2820060 (Federal Aid/Airport Capital), and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered (with the approval of the grantor agency) to reduce the time period of the grant provided there is no change in the grant budget or extend the terms of the grant agreement for the purpose of expending any unexpended grant funds, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby authorized to make any transfers of funds required within this grant budget provided that employee head count is not increased, the County's contribution is not increased, and the salary rate or salary total for a position is not changed.

Intro No. 21
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by
Co. Attorney RWS
Date 4/28/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Personnel and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION UPDATING THE COUNTY'S TRAVEL REGULATIONS, POLICIES AND PROCEDURES

WHEREAS, the Director of the Office of Management and Budget requests authorization to update the County's Travel Regulations, Policies and Procedures, and

WHEREAS, the Broome County Handbook, the Broome County Policy and Procedure Manual, the Broome County Charter and Code and Resolution 451 of 1985 established County policies and procedures regulating business travel including authorization and reimbursement of expenses incurred, and

WHEREAS, it is necessary at this time to update the County's Travel Regulations, Policies and Procedures as shown on Exhibit "A", now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes updating the County's Travel Regulations, Policies and Procedures as shown on Exhibit "A", and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution.

Exhibit A

1301
Operations
Travel/Transportation
Date Issued: February 2, 1987
Date Revised: July 1, 2016

Travel Regulations and Procedures

Purpose. To establish County policies and procedures regulating business travel including its authorization, and reimbursement of expenses incurred.

Scope. Applies to all County officials, and employees, job applicants, and non-county individuals who incur expenses while traveling on County business.

Responsibility. The Department of Audit and Control through the audit of payment claims is responsible for determining that the expenses claimed are in compliance with the policies and procedures for reimbursement of travel expenses. The head of each administrative unit or a designee is responsible to review each claim for supporting documentation, its completeness, accuracy, and compliance with all requirements and to approve the claim as reimbursable from budgetary appropriations.

Source/Contact. The Department of Audit and Control. Employment contracts between the County of Broome and the bargaining units for County employees; Broome County Employee Handbook Section 506-507; Broome County Policy and Procedure Manual Section 507-508, General Municipal Law, Article 5, Section 77-b; The Broome County Charter and Code Administrative Code, Sections 214, 215 and 302 (G) and Chapter 169; Broome County Legislative Resolution No. 451 (12/31/85) Amended by Resolution _____ (5/19/16); United States Census Bureau.

Policy/Procedure.

1. The following general policies regulate all County business travel.
 - a. All requests, accounts or statements must be submitted on the applicable approved form and the claim approved by the department head or his designee and certified by the individual making the claim.
 - b. The mileage rate is determined by the appropriate employment contract or by Legislative action. Audit and Control will notify departments each year of the IRS mileage reimbursement rate.

- c. The County assumes no responsibility for any tickets issued to an employee operating either a County-owned or privately owned vehicle for official County business. Responsibility for payment remains with the individual charged with the violation.
2. The following policies, subject to the appropriate employment contract, regulate travel while conducting County business outside of Broome County:
 - a. Authorization to Travel
 - 1) A travel authorization request or an e-mail stating the date and time of the anticipated departure from and return to Broome County is required for every official, employee, job applicant, and non-County individual traveling outside of Broome County. Employees making in-County visits who must briefly travel across the County line to complete field work are an exception.
 - 2) All employees traveling outside of Broome County must have authorization to do so even if they are passengers in a car and do not expect to have any reimbursable expenses.
 - 3) Department heads cannot authorize their own individual travel and should receive Executive or Legislature approval according to their Department for such travel requests. Elected officials can approve their own and their staff's travel.
 - 4) Department heads or a properly identified and approved designee can authorize employee business travel costing less than \$500 per employee. Designees should be emailed and on file with Audit and Control.
 - 5) All travel costing more than \$500, except for separate elected officials' offices, must be submitted to the Executive or Legislature Office for approval.
 - 6) Attendance at conventions or meetings of associations or organizations must be authorized and approved prior to such attendance. Any claim for reimbursement based on retroactive approval to attend may be disallowed.
 - 7) When modes of transportation other than an automobile are authorized, the employee shall utilize "coach class." It is prohibited to accumulate "frequent flyer" miles on government business/travel and keep them for personal use when the expense was paid or reimbursed by Broome County.
 - 8) All air travel by County employees and/or vendors using commercial airlines on County business at County expense shall utilize the Greater Binghamton Airport if financially reasonable and logistically practical.
 - b. Mode of Travel

- 1) The authorizing official determines the most economical (in terms of cost and time) mode of travel.
- 2) Such determination is subject to the policy established for the use of County and personal vehicles for County business.

c. Criteria and Guidelines for Approval of Travel Authorization Requests

- 1) Business travel must be directly and precisely related to an employee's work responsibilities in Broome County government.
- 2) The travel request must state a specific goal of demonstrative value to Broome County.
- 3) Employees are encouraged to use technology (conference calls, webinars, etc.) to eliminate unnecessary travel.
- 4) While the County recognizes the value of and encourages participation in non-governmental professional organizations, it, as a general rule, considers such participation a personal betterment which, should be financed by the individual rather than by the County as a membership expense. Exceptions to the County's policy to not sponsor professional organizations and finance attendance must demonstrate a direct purpose to County government which advances its interests.

d. Criteria and Guidelines for Automobile Expense Reimbursement

- 1) County-owned vehicles should be fully fueled using County gasoline pumps before leaving the County.
- 2) Emergency repair work will only be authorized for County vehicles while out of the County. In claiming reimbursement, an explanation of the reason for such repair is required.
- 3) The mileage reimbursement is allowed to the vehicle owner only, regardless of the number of employees traveling in said automobile on the same trip.
- 4) Any individual or employee traveling by an indirect route must assume any extra expense since reimbursement will be based only upon actual direct-route charges. This rule may be waived when the use of expressways or other alternate routes, even though not the most direct route, will result in the reduction of travel time.

- 5) Except for incidental expenses such as tolls or parking, claims for expenses not specified on the original Travel Authorization Request or email must be approved by the authorizing official.
- 6) All individuals or County employees must claim their own expenses and personally sign the Broome County Travel Expense Voucher form when making claims. Employees may not pay expenses of other employees and claim such expenses on their form.

e. Documents Required for Reimbursement

- 1) All claims for reimbursement of expenses submitted by employees traveling outside of the County on authorized County business must be submitted on the Broome County Travel Expense Voucher together with the approved Travel Authorization Request form or email and required receipts and/or explanations of the charges.
- 2) Vouchers submitted without the required receipts will be returned to the employee. If, in the opinion of the Comptroller, circumstances were such that receipts were not obtainable, the receipt requirement may be waived.
- 3) Vouchers must identify the start and end times of the event requiring the travel as well as the time of departure from and return to Broome County.

f. Receipts Required as Proof of Expense

1) Travel

Airplane	Ticket Receipt or Travel Invoice
Automobile	Mileage at Authorized Rate
Boat	Ticket Receipt
Bus	Ticket Receipt
Parking	Receipt
Toll	Toll Receipt
Train	Ticket Receipt

Notes:

- If the mode of transportation is different than that authorized, the reason for the change must be given.
- If the receipt for any toll is not available, the toll gate or such bridge crossed must be identified.

2) Lodging: Itemized Hotel or Motel Bill

Notes:

- The absence of lodging charges while on an overnight trip should be explained.
- Room Tax is not an eligible expense in New York State since the County is tax exempt. Tax, however, will be allowed for out-of-State hotel expenses if the County's exemption certificate is rejected.
- When the spouse accompanies a County employee, the amount of room charges allowable will be computed on the basis of the ordinary single room charge allowable to a County employee unaccompanied by a spouse.

3) Meals

Resolution 451 adopted on December 30, 1985, Amended May 19, 2016 by Resolution _____, established the following per diem allowances for meals for County officers and employees traveling outside the County.

	<u>Rate</u>
Breakfast	\$8.00
Lunch	\$10.00
Dinner	\$22.00

Notes: Since the intent and purpose of the Resolution is to reimburse officers and employees for actual and necessary expenses the following conditions must be met:

- The above are the amounts which may be claimed unless meals were included in transportation service charges or in registration fees or conference fees, conventions, and similar events.
- Meals are reimbursed on a per-diem basis with no receipts required except when a travel card is used. When a travel card is used, the exact amount subject to the maximum reimbursement rate is paid and employees are responsible for any charges over the maximum.

- For those meals reimbursed that do not require any overnight stay (day meals) they are taxable meals and are included in the employees taxable wages
- No reimbursement will be made for alcoholic beverages.
- To claim the allowances, a meal must actually be purchased. The time of departure from Broome County must be before 7:00 a.m. to purchase breakfast and the time of arrival back in Broome County must be after 6:00 p.m. to purchase dinner. No claim will be processed without the departure and return times.
- A meal is not deemed necessary if the time actually needed to reach a destination at a scheduled hour is not consistent with the time criteria for claiming reimbursement. For example, a meeting or conference in Syracuse scheduled for 9:00 a.m. does not require departure before 7:00 a.m., or a dinner is not necessary when the event is over in time for the return to Broome County before 6:00 p.m. A lunch is not necessary (traveling time considered) when the day of departure is the day prior to the day of the meeting, conference, etc.

4) Registration: Receipt or similarly acceptable evidence of registration

5) Taxi Fares: Fare Receipt

Notes:

- The starting point and destination for taxi fares must be listed.
- If claiming such fares when an automobile is available, the reason must be given.

3. The following policies, subject to the appropriate employment contracts, apply to business travel within Broome County:

a. Criteria and Guidelines for Local Mileage Reimbursement

- 1) Reimbursement to an employee for use of a privately owned vehicle for intra-County business must be authorized and approved by the administrative head or preferably by the supervisor most familiar with the individual's work assignments. Approving the reimbursement voucher represents such authorization and approval.

- 2) All vehicles are eligible for use for reimbursement except motorcycles.
- 3) No mileage reimbursement will be allowed for travel between residence and the post of duty except when specifically authorized by Federal or State Law, by regulations of the County Legislature, when an employee starts from his or her residence on official business, in which case this must be stated on the mileage claim, or when specifically authorized by union contract.
- 4) Employees using their vehicles regularly should submit their claims monthly. Those who use their automobiles occasionally may submit their claims quarterly.

b. Documents Required for Reimbursement

- 1) Mileage must be recorded on the Broome County Claim for Mileage Expense form to receive reimbursement. All business stops and the distances between them for local, inter-municipal mileage must be listed. Within any one municipality, all stops must be listed only if the intra-municipal distance traveled exceeds five miles. Otherwise, the mileage traveled can be recorded after the last stop within the municipality. The employee claiming reimbursement must also certify the forms by signing it and obtaining supervisor approval.
- 2) Payment can be requested by submitting the Broome County Claim for Mileage Expense form which will be processed in the PeopleSoft system and will require the PeopleSoft Electronic Transaction form to be properly completed and approved.

Notes:

- It is advisable for employees to keep the Broome County Claim for Mileage Expense form in the vehicle to facilitate accurate, mileage recording after all stops as required.

c. Criteria and Guidelines for Attending Local Conferences

- 1) Attending conferences, meetings, or functions within Broome County requires approval of the department head if under \$500 and the approval of the County Executive or Chairman of the Legislature, except for separately elected official's offices, for department heads regardless of cost and for employees if the cost exceeds \$500.

- 2) If local mileage will be the single expense claimed, only the Broome County Claim for Mileage Expense form and the PeopleSoft Electronic Transaction must be completed to process for payment.

d. Criteria and Guidelines for Meals

- 1) Local Conferences and Functions: Meals obtained in Broome County while attending a conference or function are reimbursable if served as part of the conference or function and may be either a set price or by individual order from the menu. Set prices, as evidenced by a billing or registration, will be paid in full. Meals ordered from the menu are subject to the per-diem allowances.
- 2) Local Restaurants: In a limited number of situations, meals obtained in local restaurants may be reimbursable. When the County is a host to out-of-town officials, guests, or job applicants and an authorized County official determines that Broome County is obligated to pay for the meal, the County staff attending the meal may be reimbursed. The reimbursement is limited to the guest or guests and a reasonable number of County staff who are directly involved in the discussion of County business with the guest(s). However, if all individuals involved pay for their own meals, County staff may not claim reimbursement. When County staff attends a meal with local officials or guests, County staff may be reimbursed for the meal of the non-County individual if, in the opinion of the authorizing County official, payment for the meal is in the best interest of Broome County. County staff may not be reimbursed for their own meals.

e. Documents Required for Local Meal Reimbursement

- 1) The PeopleSoft Electronic Transaction must be properly supported by listing the individuals at the meal, the purpose of the meeting and where it was served. Claims must be approved by the County Executive, Chairman of the Legislature or other elected official prior to the submission for audit and payment.

Intro No.

22

Date

5/18/16

Reviewed by
Co. Attorney

LLS

Date

4/28/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Economic Development, Education & Culture Committee

RESOLUTION RENDERING A "NEGATIVE DECLARATION" WITH RESPECT TO THE AGENCY (BROOME COUNTY IDA/LDC) OFFICE BUILDING AT SUNY BROOME CAMPUS PROJECT

WHEREAS, this County Legislature, by Resolution 136 of 2016 designated the Broome County Legislature as Lead Agency with respect to the Agency (Broome County IDA/LDC) Office Building at SUNY Broome Campus Project, and

WHEREAS, it is hereby determined that such Project is subject to the requirements of the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), and it is therefore necessary to initiate procedures with respect thereto, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature, based on the Environmental Assessment Form annexed hereto as Exhibit "A" hereby determines and declares that the adoption of the proposed Project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby adopts the "Negative Declaration" annexed hereto.

Exhibit A

Short Environmental Assessment Form *Part 1 - Project Information*

Instructions for Completing

Part 1 - Project Information. The applicant or project sponsor is responsible for the completion of Part 1. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification. Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information.

Complete all items in Part 1. You may also provide any additional information which you believe will be needed by or useful to the lead agency; attach additional pages as necessary to supplement any item.

Part 1 - Project and Sponsor Information			
The Agency Broome County IDA/LDC			
Name of Action or Project: The Agency New Office Building			
Project Location (describe, and attach a location map): 5 South Collage Drive, Binghamton, NY 13905 (on the SUNY Broome Campus)			
Brief Description of Proposed Action: The Agency proposes to construct a new headquarter office building on the southeast entrance of the SUNY Broome Campus. The proposed construction will consist of a 15,000-20,0000 s/f building.			
Name of Applicant or Sponsor: Kevin McLaughlin		Telephone: 607.584.9000	
		E-Mail: km@theagency-ny.com	
Address: 60 Hawley Street, Binghamton, NY 13901			
City/PO: Binghamton		State: NY	Zip Code: 13901
1. Does the proposed action only involve the legislative adoption of a plan, local law, ordinance, administrative rule, or regulation? If Yes, attach a narrative description of the intent of the proposed action and the environmental resources that may be affected in the municipality and proceed to Part 2. If no, continue to question 2.		NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input checked="" type="checkbox"/>
2. Does the proposed action require a permit, approval or funding from any other governmental Agency? If Yes, list agency(s) name and permit or approval: SEQR- Broome County, DASNY, Town of Dickinson (Involved Agencies)		NO <input type="checkbox"/>	YES <input type="checkbox"/>
3.a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action?		1.4 acres	
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed?		.35 acres	
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor?		1.4 acres	
4. Check all land uses that occur on, adjoining and near the proposed action.			
<input type="checkbox"/> Urban <input type="checkbox"/> Rural (non-agriculture) <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial <input type="checkbox"/> Commercial <input type="checkbox"/> Residential (suburban) <input type="checkbox"/> Forest <input type="checkbox"/> Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): College Campus <input type="checkbox"/> Parkland			

<p>18. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that result in the impoundment of water or other liquids (e.g. retention pond, waste lagoon, dam)?</p> <p>If Yes, explain purpose and size: _____</p> <p>_____</p>	<p>NO</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>YES</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>19. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the location of an active or closed solid waste management facility?</p> <p>If Yes, describe: _____</p> <p>_____</p>	<p>NO</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>YES</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>
<p>20. Has the site of the proposed action or an adjoining property been the subject of remediation (ongoing or completed) for hazardous waste?</p> <p>If Yes, describe: _____</p> <p>_____</p>	<p>NO</p> <p><input checked="" type="checkbox"/></p>	<p>YES</p> <p><input type="checkbox"/></p>

I AFFIRM THAT THE INFORMATION PROVIDED ABOVE IS TRUE AND ACCURATE TO THE BEST OF MY KNOWLEDGE

Applicant/sponsor name: _____

Kevin McLaughlin

Date: _____

4/20/16

Signature: _____

[Handwritten Signature]

Project:

Date:

Short Environmental Assessment Form
Part 2 - Impact Assessment

Part 2 is to be completed by the Lead Agency.

Answer all of the following questions in Part 2 using the information contained in Part 1 and other materials submitted by the project sponsor or otherwise available to the reviewer. When answering the questions the reviewer should be guided by the concept "Have my responses been reasonable considering the scale and context of the proposed action?"

	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
1. Will the proposed action create a material conflict with an adopted land use plan or zoning regulations?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
2. Will the proposed action result in a change in the use or intensity of use of land?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
3. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of the existing community?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
4. Will the proposed action have an impact on the environmental characteristics that caused the establishment of a Critical Environmental Area (CEA)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
5. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change in the existing level of traffic or affect existing infrastructure for mass transit, biking or walkway?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
6. Will the proposed action cause an increase in the use of energy and it fails to incorporate reasonably available energy conservation or renewable energy opportunities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
7. Will the proposed action impact existing:		
a. public / private water supplies?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
b. public / private wastewater treatment utilities?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
8. Will the proposed action impair the character or quality of important historic, archaeological, architectural or aesthetic resources?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
9. Will the proposed action result in an adverse change to natural resources (e.g., wetlands, waterbodies, groundwater, air quality, flora and fauna)?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
10. Will the proposed action result in an increase in the potential for erosion, flooding or drainage problems?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>
11. Will the proposed action create a hazard to environmental resources or human health?	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/>	<input type="checkbox"/>

Project: _____
 Date: _____

**Short Environmental Assessment Form
 Part 3 Determination of Significance**

For every question in Part 2 that was answered "moderate to large impact may occur", or if there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action may or will not result in a significant adverse environmental impact, please complete Part 3. Part 3 should, in sufficient detail, identify the impact, including any measures or design elements that have been included by the project sponsor to avoid or reduce impacts. Part 3 should also explain how the lead agency determined that the impact may or will not be significant. Each potential impact should be assessed considering its setting, probability of occurring, duration, irreversibility, geographic scope and magnitude. Also consider the potential for short-term, long-term and cumulative impacts.


During the Lead Agency's assessment the following potential impacts were identified and explored:


- The site has been determined to be located in an archaeologically significant area. A phase 1 archaeological assessment revealed that due to prior disturbance, there would be no impact to cultural resources. No further archaeological review was recommended.
- A portion of the project is located in the 100 year floodplain. However, site surveys indicate that the site elevations are 6 feet higher than the currently adopted FEMA flood maps. The applicant will work with the Town of Dickinson to obtain the appropriate floodplain permit prior to construction.
- The site was identified as being in the vicinity of rare and endangered species. These included two species of vascular plants and a species of mussel. However, per the NYSDEC, these species are not present on site and will not be impacted by the proposed project.

Considering this assessment we have determined that there will be no significant adverse impacts on the environment resulting from the proposed project.

<input type="checkbox"/> Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action may result in one or more potentially large or significant adverse impacts and an environmental impact statement is required.	
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Check this box if you have determined, based on the information and analysis above, and any supporting documentation, that the proposed action will not result in any significant adverse environmental impacts.	
Broome County	
Name of Lead Agency	Date
Daniel J. Reynolds	Chair of the Broome County Legislature
Print or Type Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Title of Responsible Officer
Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency	Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer)

PRINT FORM





THIS PROJECT

VICINITY MAP
NOT TO SCALE

MISCELLANEOUS NOTES:

(1) Underground facilities, structures and utilities have been placed on this drawing from field locations, where visible or from recorded drawings provided to the professional. Therefore, locations should be considered approximate only. There may be other facilities or utilities, the existence of which are not known by the engineer. UTILITY shall be conducted in advance of all work prior to any subsequent operations.

(2) Elevation are tied to the North American Vertical Datum of 1988 (NAVD83). Elevations shown are based on field measurements. Contours are merely interpretation and should be considered as such only.

- LEGEND:**
- Existing Building Footprint
 - Existing Building Voids
 - Existing Building Light Pole
 - Existing Building Pole Support
 - Existing Building Manhole
 - Existing Water Line (Size 18" S)
 - Existing Storm Sewer Line (Size 18" S)
 - Existing Bar Iron Sewer Line (Size 18" S)
 - Existing Gas Line (Size 18" S)
 - Existing Underground Electric Line (Size 18" S)
 - Existing Existing Sign
 - Existing Gas Main Mark
 - Existing Existing Ground Elevation (See MH 2)
 - Existing Existing Ground Contour (See MH 2)
 - Existing Existing Sewer Catch Basin
 - Existing Proposed Lane Panel
 - Existing Existing Landscaping Area
 - Existing Existing Concrete Area
 - Existing Existing Ditch/Stream Line

MAP REFERENCES:

(1) "Subdivision No. 3, Chamargo Street Subdivision", Town of Dickinson - Broome County - Filed 27 June 1918, By Walter O. Simpson, Deed Book 11, 1354 and Filed in the Broome County Clerk's Office On June 17, 1918, in Book 12 of Maps at Page 225

(2) "Broome County and New Road Addition - SCC Property Survey", Town of Dickinson - Broome County - Book of New York, By Department of Public Works - Engineering Division, Dated June 11, 1922


CALL BEFORE YOU DIG:

ANYONE PLACING OR REMOVING ANYTHING IN THE GROUND OR UNDERGROUND SHOULD CALL 311 BEFORE YOU DIG.

Call 311 before you dig.

CALL 311 BEFORE YOU DIG.

CALL AT 8:00 AM TO 5:00 PM.



TOTAL ACREAGE THIS SURVEY IS 1.5573 ACRES
(0.0016 Sq. Ft.)

McGraw-Hill Construction Information Group, L.L.C.
(State of New York)
Libar 2258 / Page 70

NO.	DATE	REVISION	BY
1	06/15/18	To Show Revised "1.5573 Acres Parcel" and Proposed Building Location	JMA

THE UNDERSIGNED HEREBY CERTIFIES THAT THIS IS AN ACCURATE MAP OF AN ACTUAL FIELD SURVEY.
DATE: February 10, 2018 AND
THAT BOTH MAP AND SURVEY ARE CORRECT.

WALTER O. SIMPSON, L.S. SURVEYOR

"Proposed Lease Parcel Survey"


Lands Of
Broome Community College
Upper Front Street and Vanwinkle Drive.
Town Of Dickinson - Broome County
State Of New York

DELTA
ENGINEERS, ARCHITECTS, & LAND SURVEYORS

6373 EYS Route 5, Verona, NY 13478
Fax: 315.863.4200
Fax: 315.863.4202
Website: www.deltanew.com

Being a Portion Of Tax Parcel 12B, 13-1-1

Designed by	JMA	SHEET 1 OF 1
Drawn by	JMA	
Reviewed by	S. Simpson	
Date	February 10, 2018	
Last Revised	March 11, 2018	
Scale	1" = 30 Feet	
Project Number	2018-04-001	
File Number	N/A	



ZONE B

UNNAMED ST

ORCHARD RD

857

UNNAMED ST

FRON
ZONE


857

ZONE
B

ZONE A15
(S.D.P.A.)

VATE ST

ZONE B



Public Archaeology Facility Report

CULTURAL RESOURCE MANAGEMENT REPORT

**PHASE 1 CULTURAL RESOURCE SURVEY
SUNY-BROOME BCIDA NEW OFFICE FACILITY PROJECT
TOWN OF DICKINSON
BROOME COUNTY, NEW YORK
MCD 00706**

PREPARED BY:

RICHARD A. KASTL, M.A. RPA

SUBMITTED TO:

**DELTA ENGINEERS, ARCHITECTS, & LAND SURVEYORS, PC
860 HOOPER ROAD
ENDWELL, NY 13760**

SPONSOR:

**THE AGENCY
BROOME COUNTY IDA/LDC
EDWIN L. CRAWFORD COUNTY OFFICE BUILDING
60 HAWLEY STREET, 5TH FLOOR
BINGHAMTON, NY 13901**

DATE:

MARCH 29, 2016

**Binghamton University, State University of New York
Binghamton, New York 13902-6000**



MANAGEMENT SUMMARY

Project Name: SUNY-Broome BCIDA Office Project

SHPO Project Review Number (if available):

Involved State or Federal Agencies (DEC, CORPS, FHWA, etc):

Phase of Survey: Phase 1 Cultural Resource Survey

Location Information

Location: Town of Dickinson

Minor Civil Division: 00706

County: Broome County, NY

Survey Area (Metric & English)

Length: 82 m (269 ft)

Width: 59 m (194 ft)

Number of Acres Surveyed: 1.2 ac (0.48 hectares)

USGS 7.5 Minute Quadrangle Map: Castle Creek quad

Archaeological Survey Overview

Number & Interval of Shovel Tests: 23 STPs @7.5 m intervals

Results of Archaeological Survey

Number & name of prehistoric sites identified: 0

Number & name of historic sites identified: 0

Number & name of sites recommended for Phase II/Avoidance: 0

Report Author(s): Richard A. Kastl, M.A. RPA

Date of Report: March 29, 2016



TABLE OF CONTENTS

I. INTRODUCTION.....1

II. BACKGROUND RESEARCH.....5

 2.1 Environmental Context.....5

 2.2 Site Files Summary (from Seib 2013)7

 2.3 Prehistoric Context9

 Prehistoric Sensitivity Assessment10

 2.4 Historic Context.....10

 Historic Sites Sensitivity Assessment.....11

III. METHODOLOGY19

 3.1 Subsurface Testing Procedures.....19

 3.2 General Laboratory Methods19

IV. PHASE 1 SURVEY RESULTS19

V. RECOMMENDATIONS.....19

APPENDIX I. BIBLIOGRAPHY.....20

APPENDIX II. STP Results22

APPENDIX III. PROJECT MAPs.....24

FIGURES

Figure 1. Location of the project area in Broome County and New York State.1

Figure 2. Location of the Project limits on the 1968 Castle Creek 7.5" USGS Quadrangle.....2

Figure 3. Soil map of the project APE.....6

Figure 4. 1858 map of Broome County, showing project location.....12

Figure 5. 1866 Stone and Stewart Atlas showing Project ARea.....13

Figure 6. 1876 Everts, Ensign, and Everts Atlas, showing project location.....14

Figure 7. 1908 Broome County Plat Book, showing project location.....15

Figure 8. 1918-1950 Sanborn Map showing project area.....16

Figure 9. 1942 USGS Castle Creek Quad showing project location.....17

Figure 10. 1994 aerial photograph showing project area.....18

Figure 11. Map of the Area of Potential Effect (APE), showing STPs and proposed building footprint.....24

Figure 12. Map showing APE and footprints of MDS.....25

PHOTOS

Photo 1. Project area, looking northeast from southwest corner of building footprint.....3

Photo 2. Project area, looking northwest from southeast corner of proposed footprint.....3

Photo 3. Interior of STP A3, showing utility pipe.....4

TABLES

Table 1. Predicted soil types for the SUNY Broome project areas.....7

Table 2. Site Files Summary (from Seib 2013)7




Figure 2. Location of the Project limits on the 1968 Castle Creek 7.5" USGS Quadrangle.



Photo 1. Project area, looking northeast from southwest corner of building footprint.




Photo 2. Project area, looking northwest from southeast corner of proposed footprint.




Photo 3. Interior of STP A3, showing utility pipe.



II. BACKGROUND RESEARCH

PAF archaeologists conducted background research on the environmental context, and known prehistory and history of the region to assess the potential for finding specific types of cultural resources in the project area. This research included site files checks using the CRIS online system, and files at the Public Archaeology Facility (PAF). Researchers also checked written histories, historic maps, prehistories, structure inventories, topographic maps, and soil surveys. These combined data contributed to an assessment of project sensitivity for archaeological resources.

2.1 Environmental Context

The project area is situated within the Chenango River Valley approximately 4.8 km (3 mi) north of that waterway's confluence with the Susquehanna River, at an approximate elevation of 262 m (860 ft) amsl (Figure 2). The Chenango was channelized and sections were relocated to accommodate the interstate highway system nearby. Figure 8 illustrates the river's historic path. The parcel lies on the lower slope of the valley wall, about 549 m (1,800 ft) west of the river's current bed and about 305 m (1,000 ft) west of its original bed.

The USDA soil survey (Figure 3) shows that this part of the SUNY Broome campus contains bands of glacially deposited gravelly loam interspersed with alluvial Middlebury silt loam. The alluvial soils are located between the terraces which contain the main SUNY Broome campus buildings and the structures along Upper Front Street. This area has been used primarily for green space and parking lots. The terraces within the project area contain Chenango and Howard gravelly loam and Unadilla silt loam, 0-5% slopes (Table 1). Recommended testing in the project area should extend at least 15 cm (6 in) into sterile subsoil, if intact soils can be encountered. If alluvial soils are present, testing will extend to 1 m (3.3 ft) or more, until Pleistocene gravels are reached.



Figure 3. Soil map of the project APE.



Table 1. Predicted soil types for the SUNY Broome project areas.

Soil Series	Horizons	Color/Texture	Landforms
Chenango Series	Ap 0-20 cm (0-8 in) Bw1 20-31 cm (8-12 in) Bw2 31-51 cm (12-20 in) BC 51-76 cm (20-30 in) 2C 76-183 cm (30-72 in)	very dk gr brown grav si lo dk yl brown grav si lo dk yl brown v grav si lo brown v grav si lo dk gr brown extremely grav si lo	Deep soils formed in water sorted material on outwash plains, kames, eskers, terraces and alluvial fans.
Howard Series	Ap 0-23 cm (0-9 in) E 23-38 cm (9-15 in) E/B 38-61 cm (15-24 in) B/E 61-69 cm (24-27 in) Bt1 69-76 cm (27-30 in) Bt2 76-114 cm (30-45 in) C 114-183 cm (45-72 in)	dk brown grav loam brown v grav loam pale brown v grav loam brown v grav loam brown v grav loam brown v grav loam gr brown extremely grav sand	Very deep soils formed in medium textured glacial outwash deposits, found on valley terraces, outwash plains, kame moraines, and eskers.
Unadilla Series	Ap: 0-20 cm(0-8 in) Bw1: 20-30 cm (8-12 in) Bw2: 30-46 cm (12-18 in) Bw3: 46-79 cm (18-31 in) BC: 79-94 cm (31-42 in) 2C: 94-165cm (42-65 in)	Br silt loam lt yl br silt loam yl brown silt loam lt yellowish br si lo yl br si lo dk gr br v. fine sa lo str. v grav sa	Deep and very deep well drained soils formed in silty, lacustrine sediments or old alluvial deposits and found on valley terraces and lacustrine plains.

2.2 Site Files Summary (from Seib 2013)

A search of the online CRIS site files, which record information from the New York State Museum (NYSM) sites and the Office of Parks Recreation and Historic Preservation (OPR&HP), found 37 archaeological sites within 3.2 km (2 miles) of the project area. Some of the designations overlap, reflecting boundary expansions from multiple investigations, incomplete submissions, or multiple components of the same site. These sites represent 21 prehistoric sites (camps, villages, a burial, and ephemeral sites), one historic Native American village, and eight historic sites (domestic, commercial, Pauper's Cemetery, and canal structures). For four of the sites there is little or no information currently on file. None of these sites overlap the project area, although several are located nearby.

Table 2. Site Files Summary (from Seib 2013)

Site #	Site Name	Distance from PA / Distance from water	Cultural Affiliation/Dates	Type	Testing
NYSM 158, NYSM 9057, SUBi-1005 A00706.000006	Broome Tech	442-246 m (1450-820 ft) NE / 76-290 m (250-950 ft) W Chenango River	Transitional (2 components) Middle Woodland, Woodland)	Stratified Seasonal Camp	NRE - Data Recovery
NYSM 2317		0.5 km (0.5 mi) NE / 207 m (680 ft) W Chenango River	No information Probably prehistoric	No information	Stps – some positive
NYSM 5332	ACP BRME	Very lg general area includes PA	Unidentified prehistoric	Traces of occupation	No information
NYSM 7331	ACP BRME	0.8 km (0.5 mi) E / 357 m (1170 ft) E Chenango River	Unidentified prehistoric	Burial	No information
A00706.000002 SUBi-466	Chenango Sewer Treatment	0.8 km (0.5 mi) NE / 85 m (280 ft) W Chenango River	Unidentified prehistoric	No information	No information
A00706.000003 SUBi-467		229 m (750 ft) NE / 213 m (700 ft) W Chenango River	Unidentified prehistoric	No information	No information
A00706.000004 SUBi-252	Comfort Site	366 m (1200 ft) NE / 207 m (680 ft) W Chenango River	Contact prehistoric & Historic Native American	Village. Possibly settlement known to missionaries in the 18 th century as Otsiningo	No information



Site #	Site Name	Distance from PA / Distance from water	Cultural Affiliation/Dates	Type	Testing
A00706.000005 SUBi-1004	Cutler Ice House	152 m (500 ft) S / east of pond	20 th century? NRE	Ice house	Concrete remains
A00706.000015 SUBi-1189	Garden Plots	91 m (300 ft) E / 152 m (500 ft) W Chenango River / 259 m (850 ft)	Transitional, Late Archaic, Middle and Late Woodland Not eligible	Camp	Over 220 lithics including Snook kill, Jacks Reef, unclassified jasper side-notched ppts, notched scraper, biface frags, expedient flake tools, 7 pottery sherds
A00706.000016 SUBi-1755 NYSM 10531	Pauper's Cemetery	229 m (750 ft) SE / 101 m (330 ft) W Chenango River / 259 m (850 ft); flat	c. 1831-after 1900 undetermined	Poor house cemetery	GPR, salvage stripping: 387 identifiable frags commingled human remains, hair, cloth fibers, coffin nails and wood, some buttons, cuff link, safety pin
A00706.000035 SUBi-1972	Lothar Site	1.1 km (0.7 mi) N-NE / 402 m (1320 ft) W Chenango River / 259 m (850 ft)	Possibly Woodland Not eligible	Processing location	3 stps, 1 unit: (chert) 42 flakes (1 cortical), 5 utilized flakes, 1 shatter, 1 chunk, 2 FCR
A00745.000003	Sawtell's Tavern	0.6 km (0.4 mi) SE	Pre 1790s. Demolished 1964.	Tavern where French Statesman Tallyrand reputedly stayed on trip from Philadelphia to Albany in 1794 or 95	No testing.
A00745.000005		2.1 km (1.3 mi) NE	No form	No form	No form
NYSM 1936 SUBi-0454	Pole 33 Refer to Site 27	1.8 km (1.1 mi) N / 183 m (600 ft) W of Chenango River	No information	No information	No information
NYSM 1897 SUBi-186	R-11	2.3 km (1.4 mi) NE / 15 m (50 ft) E channel of Chenango River	No information	No information	No information
BTSN 5331	ACP BRME	Lg general area begins 2.1 km (1.3 mi) NE / east side Chenango River	Unidentified prehistoric	Traces of occupation	No information
NYSM 5786 SUBi-1073		1.4 km (0.9 mi) NE / 30 m (100 ft) E of Chenango River	No information – Refer to Site 21	No information	No information
A00707.000002 SUBi-186	Chenango I Site	2.3 km (1.4 mi) NE	Woodland	No information	No information
A00745.000001 SUBi-1073	River Crossing site	1.4 km (0.9 mi) NE / 30 m (100 ft) E Chenango River	Unidentified prehistoric	Camp	Surface, stps, 5 units: chert flakes, stemmed ppt, charcoal, pottery sherds, FCR
A00703.000030 SUBi-464		1.3 km (0.8 mi) N / west bank Chenango River	Unidentified prehistoric	No information	No information
A00707.000055	BRO-129	1.4 km (0.9 mi) NE	Unidentified prehistoric	No information	No information
A00707.000054	BRO-128	1.6 km (1 mi) NE	Unidentified prehistoric	No information	No information
A00707.000053	BRO-10	1.8 km (1.1 mi) NE	19 th century	Canal remains	No information
A00703.000013		1.4 km (0.9 mi) N / 259 m (850 ft); gentle	Unidentified prehistoric	Camp? Processing location?	15 stps: 2 ppts frags, 46 flakes, 1 retouched flake (all chert), 6 undecorated body pottery sherds
A00703.000004 SUBi-454	Utility Pole 33 Refer to Site 16	1.8 km (1.1 mi) N / west side Chenango River	Unidentified prehistoric	No information	No information
A00703.000008 SUBi-265	Fashion Flair Site	2.1 km (1.3 mi) N / on flood plain west side of Chenango River	19 th /20 th century	House foundation and sheet midden	Surface – 19 th century artifacts
NYSM 155	ACP BRME 11B	2.7 km (1.7 mi) N	Late Woodland (Owasco)	Village	No information
Chenango Forks	Quadrangle				
A00708.000050	BRO-137	2.7 km (1.7 mi) NE	Unidentified prehistoric	No information	No information
A00708.000060 SUBi-1548	BRO-9803 Lewis Site	2.9 km (1.8 mi) NE / 23 m (75 ft) from water / 253 m (830 ft); flat	19 th century	Foundation & sheet midden	68 stps, 3 units: domestic & building material, bone frags
Binghamton	West Quadrangle				



Site #	Site Name	Distance from PA / Distance from water	Cultural Affiliation/Dates	Type	Testing
NYSM 5333	ACP BRME	Lg general area begins 1.4 km (0.9 mi) SE / east side Chenango River	Unidentified prehistoric	Traces of occupation	No information
A00706.000041 SUBi-2062	Livermore Privy	1.3 km (0.8 mi) SW / 259 m (850 ft); steep	c. 1928-1970	Extant structure of privy	10 stps, 1 unit: 975 artifacts including 572 metal, 1 peach pit, 12 nuts, 121 window glass, 1 debitage and domestic
A00706.000042 SUBi-2063	Beecher Site	1.3 km (0.8 mi) SW / 259 m (850 ft); gentle	Unidentified prehistoric	Camp? Processing location?	10 stps: (chert) 31 flakes (1 cortical), 1 shatter, 1 ground stone possible pestle, 3 FCR
NYSM 5334	ACP BRME	Lg general area begins 2.3 km (1.4 mi) SW / west side Chenango River	Unidentified prehistoric	Traces of occupation	No information
NYSM 9062		1.9 km (1.2 mi) SE / 91 m (300 ft) E Chenango River	Probably prehistoric	No information	No information
A00740.000529	Binghamton Hoe and Tool Company (MDS A)	2.4 km (1.5 mi) SE /	1885 +/-	Factory/commercial building	Concrete flooring below ground

2.3 Prehistoric Context

The prehistory of New York State and the Northeast was characterized by two broad subsistence patterns, both of which influenced settlement and land use patterns, as well as material culture. The first, designated as the pre-agricultural hunter-gatherer, began with the arrival of highly mobile groups during the Paleoindian and Early-Middle Archaic periods around 10,000-4000 BC. Mobility was an important adaptation, as these groups relied on gathered plants, game animals, and fish for their subsistence. These groups often followed herds of animals, or migrated from one resource-rich landform (e.g., upland wetlands) to another. Starting in the Late Archaic period and extending through the Middle Woodland (4000 BC to AD 900), hunter-gatherers became seasonally nomadic. People created relatively large base camps in major river or lake valleys, from which daily foragers would radiate outward in search of local resources. During seasons of resource dispersal, the camps would break up into smaller, more mobile units capable of foraging for themselves. Sites associated with hunter-gatherers include the short term camps and resource processing stations used by the early nomads, as well as larger base camps and lithic scatters associated with the daily foragers of the seasonally nomadic groups.

Beginning around AD 900, the Late Woodland period is defined by the widespread shift towards agriculture as a subsistence base, along with the associated sedentism necessary for agricultural pursuits. While these groups continued to forage for plant and animal resources, they relied heavily on cultigens as a primary food source. Permanent villages developed in the region, along with a matrilineal kin structure. Increased needs for defense prompted many groups to develop their villages on elevated landforms situated above major waterways.

It is known from the upper Susquehanna River Valleys that most prehistoric groups used a system of base camps and logistical sites for collecting and processing resources that could not be obtained within the daily foraging radius around the camp (Versaggi 1996; Ritchie and Funk 1973). Since sources of water played a key role in settlement patterns, prehistoric residential sites, such as villages and base camps were often more likely to be located on the valley bottoms. Upland areas are often located farther away from sufficient water supplies, and therefore were not occupied as intensely as the valley regions. Instead, the upland forests were used regularly for resource gathering and processing.

Within the region surrounding the project area, numerous hunter-gatherer sites dot the landscape. Many of these sites contain diagnostic artifacts indicating intensive land use during the latter parts of the Late Archaic and into the Transitional period. At this point in prehistory major changes in technology were occurring, although the Late Archaic forms of logistical land use remained mostly unchanged. The sites surrounding the project area showed that a shift from narrow stemmed points to broad spear points had occurred. In addition, people began to use non-local raw materials, such as jasper and rhyolite, to fashion these broad points. While this evidence indicates a change in hunting technology, and possibly a migration of people from other regions, a major shift in cooking technology also occurred. For the first time, people imported (or brought with them) a soft stone material (steatite/soapstone) from which stone



bowls were carved. The use of stone vessels revolutionized the way food could be cooked, since the stone bowls could be laid in a fire and the liquid contents could be directly boiled (rather than heated with hot stone placed in the liquid). This meant that foods, such as acorns, which needed extensive boiling to remove acids, could be processed in to food and the byproducts of boiling (oils) could be removed for food preservation. The area of the Susquehanna surrounding the project area was home to several sites that were part of this complex.

In order to help determine the archaeological sensitivity of the proposed project area, PAF uses research by Versaggi (1987, 1996), which identified base-line models of prehistoric hunter-gatherer settlement along the Upper Susquehanna Valley. This model identified a set of site types that can be employed in an examination of hunter-gatherer sites. Versaggi's analysis identified four site groupings: base-camps, single-task field camps, multi-task field camps, and resource-processing stations.

- **Base-camps** are large sites with high frequencies of artifacts, tools, features, and spatial clusters. Base-camps were typically located at confluences near winter deer aggregation areas and dense spring fish runs.
- **Single-task field camps** are typically smaller size occupations that contain large numbers of artifacts and specialized tools. Bifacial reduction debitage is prominent as bifacial tool-kits are replaced and maintained. Single-task temporary camps appear to have been occupied by few people for a short duration, and there may have been little need to organize and divide space. Fewer spatial clusters would result and these would tend to be similar in composition, reflecting a focus on a single or limited range of tasks.
- **Multi-task field camps** are typically smaller size occupations that contain lower numbers of artifacts and tools. These sites resemble forager-like camps in which the occupants moved frequently in pursuit of low density and dispersed resources. Multi-task camps occur in a wide variety of contexts. Some were widely scattered within the valleys of major and secondary drainages, and others were mapped onto specific resource patches in the uplands.
- **Resource procurement/processing locations** and encounter-like hunting/butchering stations are small occupation with very low numbers of artifacts, tools, and spatial clusters. Expedient flake production and use characterize these small lithic sites. Generally, these sites are expected within the daily foraging radius around a camp or village, as well as around dispersed single- and multi-task camps.

By later prehistory, agricultural demand intensified the tethering of residential bases to areas of fertile soils, but did not decrease the need for ancillary camps associated with travel and resource procurement. In fact, the loss of residential mobility probably increased the need for distance traveling, and specialized work groups.

Prehistoric Sensitivity Assessment

The project area is situated near the bank of the Chenango River, an area that was repeatedly visited for thousands of years. Across Upper Front Street from the BCC campus is the Broome Tech Site (Curtin 1982; Knapp 2004; Versaggi and Knapp 2000), which was extensively excavated by the Public Archaeology Facility as recently as 1997 and 1998. This deeply stratified site contained artifacts and features from four major occupation periods. The earliest is Transitional 1 and is dated 800-500 BC, and yielded fishtail points and non-local steatite bowl fragments. These artifacts were also found in the Transitional 2 component (900-200 BC), along with Vinette 1 pottery. A Middle Woodland component featuring diagnostic pottery was dated from 60 BC- AD 210, though this component was of relatively low artifact density. A much richer assemblage of artifacts and features comprises the early Late Woodland component, characterized by Jack's Reef and triangular points, pottery, and cultigens (corn and squash); these were derived from a relatively small area of organic midden. Features from the site included large and small storage pits, post molds (though apparently not from permanent houses), hearths, and roasting platforms. The site was interpreted as a seasonal campsite visited repeatedly by fishing parties. The proximity of such rich and diverse archaeological sites indicates that this portion of the Chenango Valley is highly sensitive for prehistoric occupations dating from as early as the Late Archaic period.

2.4 Historic Context

The project area is located just south of one of the earliest Euro-American settlements in the county. This cluster of houses was variably called Old Village, Chenango Village, Nimmonsburg, and Goosetown. From the late 1700s on, the fertile soils, transportation routes and supply of lumber attracted settlers to the area. Henry French built a saw mill at Castle Creek in 1788 and farms quickly became established along the Chenango River. By the end of



the 18th century, the settlement had a newspaper, a tavern, two traders, and two doctors. There were early attempts to make the area around the project the county seat for Broome County. However, in 1800 some shrewd land deals and donation of land for a courthouse farther downstream shifted the focus of settlement to the competing community of Chenango Point (now downtown Binghamton). While farms continued to prosper, the area did not receive much notice until the Town of Dickinson was selected for the site of the Broome County Almshouse in 1831.

The Almshouse (also known as the Poor House, Poor Farm, and County Home) was situated on what is now the campus of SUNY-Broome Community College. The establishment was run as a self-sustaining farm. The buildings that housed the inhabitants, along with a complex of support structures (e.g., laundry, infirmary, administrative offices and farm buildings) were on the west side of US 11 (Upper Front Street), while farmland and an associated cemetery were situated on the east side of the street. Portions of the complex were converted to a jail farm in the early 20th century, and eventually the county home was abandoned. The last structure left standing from this complex was a National Register Eligible red brick "female dormitory wing and keeper's residence" with a Mansard roof which was constructed in 1870. In the 1960s, the campus began to develop and one by one the almshouse and jail buildings were razed.

Historic Sites Sensitivity Assessment

The project area is situated in a location formerly occupied by barns associated with the farm. This could be considered sensitive for historic sites if the ground is intact.



Figure 4. 1858 map of Broome County, showing project location.




Figure 5. 1866 Stone and Stewart Atlas showing Project Area.



Figure 6. 1876 Everts, Ensign, and Everts Atlas, showing project location.




Figure 7. 1908 Broome County Plat Book, showing project location.




Figure 8. 1918-1950 Sanborn Map showing project area.




Figure 9. 1942 USGS Castle Creek Quad showing project location.




Figure 10. 1994 aerial photograph showing project area.



III. METHODOLOGY

Potential testing locations were identified by using ArcMap to georeference historic maps and aerial photographs to determine the locations of historic buildings that are inside the project area. Areas that are outside the footprint of historic buildings and access roads within the proposed APE were selected for testing.

3.1 Subsurface Testing Procedures

The project area is located in a paved parking lot. Test locations were identified, a 24 in x 30 in rectangle was marked for each location. Gorrick construction saw-cut the asphalt at each location then removed the rectangle with a backhoe. They also removed the gravel sub-base. Professional archaeologists from PAF then proceeded to excavate the STPs with shovels; STPs generally measured 40 cm (16 in) in diameter. No alluvial soils were encountered. All soil was sifted through 7 mm (¼ in) hardware cloth. Notation was made of coal ash, brick fragments, and any post-1945 materials such as plastic and roadside debris, and these items were discarded in the field. Written descriptions of soil color and texture, artifact content, and digging conditions were made at the time of excavation. Photographs were taken of the project area to show the terrain and topography. The STP soil records are included in Appendix II (p. 22).

3.2 General Laboratory Methods

Following fieldwork, all artifacts are processed and analyzed in the laboratories of the Public Archaeology Facility. Testing did not produce historic or prehistoric artifacts, so no processing or analysis was performed for this project.

IV. PHASE 1 SURVEY RESULTS

Background research indicated that the project area was highly sensitive for both prehistoric and historic cultural resources but noted that decades of historic ground disturbance may have erased traces of prehistoric and historic land use, particularly related to the construction of the parking lot. Research also noted a high probability of encountering historic resources associated with the County Poor Farm.

Archaeologists excavated 23 shovel test pits (STPs) within the project area. The expected Ap horizon was a dark yellow brown to very dark brown gravelly silt loam. The B horizon was expected to be a dark yellow brown to a light yellow brown gravelly silt loam. None of the STPs were consistent with the expected Ap Horizon soils. The STP records do not note fill or disturbed soils in their bottom levels. Soils below the asphalt and base layer consisted of a brown to dark yellow brown gravelly, sandy silt with cobbles. These are consistent with the expected B horizons of the Chenango and Howard soils, which likely means that the topsoil had been removed before construction of the parking lot. Soils extended to depths of 60 to 65 cm below the bottom of the asphalt.

No historic or prehistoric cultural material was recovered from the STPs. Three STPs did intersect old utility lines (A1, A3 and A7). These were all located in the northerly part of the APE.

V. RECOMMENDATIONS

The Phase 1 cultural resource survey did not locate archaeological sites. The footprint of the proposed building was tested at 7.5 m intervals, where the footprint did not overlap known previous structures. No intact A horizon soils were encountered, but soils consistent with the expected B horizon soils were intersected. The base of the parking lot had been compacted and contained large cobbles. We recommend that the proposed office facility will not impact cultural resources. No further archaeological work is recommended for this project within the areas of disturbance noted on maps provided to PAF.



APPENDIX I. BIBLIOGRAPHY

Curtin, Edward

1982 *Stage 2 Site Examination of SUBi-1005, Cultural Resource Report*. Prepared for the Town of Dickinson by the Public Archaeology Facility. Binghamton University, Binghamton NY.

Everts, Ensign, and Everts

1876 *Combination Atlas Map of Broome County*.

French, J. H.

1860 *Historical and Statistical Gazetteer of New York State*. Syracuse: R. P. Smith, publisher.

Gifford, Frank

1855 *Map of Broome County, New York: from actual surveys*. Philadelphia: A.O. Gallup & Co.

Killoran, Peter E, Tyler G. O'Brien, and Nina M. Versaggi

1997 *Cultural Resource Reconnaissance Survey 1997-1998 Highway Program, Paupers Cemetery Site (SUBi-1755, NYSM 10531), PIN 9500.63.311, Town of Dickinson, Broome County, NY*. Public Archaeology Facility, Binghamton, NY.

Knapp, Timothy

2004 *Continuity, Stability, and Change in the Lower Chenango Valley: Evidence from the Deeply Stratified Broome Tech Site*. Presented at the 69th Annual Meeting of the Society for American Archaeology, Montreal.

National Park Service

1990 *National Register Bulletin 15: How to Apply the National Register Criteria for Evaluation*. Washington D.C.: National Park Service.

New York Archaeological Council (NYAC)

1994 *Standards for Cultural Resource Investigations in New York State*. NYAC.

Northwest Publishing Co.

1908 *Plat Book of Broome County, New York*. DesMoines, Iowa.

Parker, Arthur

1920 *The Archaeological History of New York*. New York state Museum Bulletin Nos. 237 & 238. Albany, NY.

Ritchie, William A.

1980 *The Archaeology of New York State*. Fleishmanns, NY: Purple Mountain Press.

Ritchie, William A. And Robert E. Funk

1973 *Aboriginal Settlement Patterns in the Northeast*. New York State Museum and Science Service, Memoir 20.

Sanborn Map Company

1918-50 *Sanborn Fire Insurance Map of Binghamton*.

Seib, Daniel

2013 *Phase 1A/1B Cultural Resource Survey Report, Broome Community College Dormitory Report, Town of Dickinson, Broome County, New York*. Public Archaeology Facility: Binghamton University.

Smith, H. P., ed.

1885 *History of Broome County, New York*. Syracuse, NY: H.D. Mason and Co.

South, Stanley

1976 *Method and Theory in Historical Archaeology*. Academic Press: New York.



Stone and Stewart.

1866 *New Topographical Atlas of Broome County, New York*. Philadelphia: Stone and Stewart.

United States Department of Agriculture (USDA)

1971 *Soil Survey, Broome County, New York*. U. S. Government Printing Office: Washington D. C.

United States Geological Survey (USGS)

1942 *Castle Creek, NY 7.5 Minute Quadrangle*.

1968 (*Photorevised 1976*) *Castle Creek, New York 7.5 Minute Quadrangle*.

Versaggi, Nina M.

1996 Prehistoric Hunter-Gatherer Settlement Models: Interpreting the Upper Susquehanna Valley. In: *A Golden Chronograph for Robert E. Funk*, edited by C. Lindner and E. Curtin, Occasional Publications in Northeast Anthropology, No. 15:129-140.

Versaggi, Nina M., LouAnn Wurst, Cregg Madrigal, and Andrea Lain

2001 Adding Complexity to Late Archaic Research in the Northeast. In *Appalachians Highland Archaeology*, edited by Lynne P. Sullivan and Susan C. Prezzano. University of Tennessee Press.

Zlotucha Kuzub, Andrea

2011 *Phase 1A Cultural Resource Assessment Broome Community College Science Building Project, Town of Dickinson, Broome County, NY*. Public Archaeology Facility, Binghamton, NY.



APPENDIX II. STP Results

Pa=Pale Lt=Light Md=Medium Dk=Dark
 Br=Brown Gr=Gray Yl=Yellow Ol=Olive Tn=Tan Rd=Red Bk=Black Wh=White
 Si=Silt Sa=Sand Cl=Clay Lo=Loam Gvl=Gravel
 P=Prehistoric H=Historic N=No Cultural Material
 Disc.=Discarded

STP	#	Level	Beg	End	Soil Description	CM	Crew	Date
A	1	1	0	27	Gravel Fill	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	1	2	27	35	Br Sa W/ Gvl Fill; Stopped By Plastic Conduit	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	2	1	0	15	Gr Sandy Fill	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	2	2	15	30	Br Sa Fill W/ Gvl	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	2	3	30	46	Asphalt Layer; Stopped By Asphalt	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	3				Not Tested - Buried Utility Line		JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	4	1	0	20	Gravel Fill	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	4	2	20	50	Dk Br Si Sa W/ Gvl, Asphalt & Plastic	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	4	3	50	71	Dk Br Si Sa W/ Gvl, Asphalt & Plastic; Stopped By Rock	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	5	1	0	25	Gr Sandy Fill	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	5	2	25	50	Br Sa W/ Gvl; Stopped By Compact Rocks	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	6	1	0	17	Gravel Fill	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	6	2	17	46	Br Sa Si W/ Gvl; (1) Glass & Modern Plastic - Disc.; Stopped By Gvl	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	7	1	0	26	Sandy Gravel Fill	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	7	2	26	46	Br Sa W/ Gvl Fill; Stopped By Pipe	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	8	1	0	37	Gr Br Sandy Fill	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	8	2	37	65	Br Sa Si W/ Gvl	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	9	1	0	30	Gravel Fill	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	9	2	30	52	Br Sa Si W/ Gvl; Stopped By Rock	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	10	1	0	16	Gravel Fill	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	10	2	16	45	Dk Gr Br Sa W/ Gvl	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	10	3	45	65	Dk Br Sa W/ Dense Gvl; Stopped By Rock	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	11	1	0	18	Gravel Fill	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	11	2	18	40	Dk Yl Br Sa W/ Gvl & Rock	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	11	3	40	57	Br Sa W/ Gvl & Rock; Stopped By Rock	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	12	1	0	23	Sandy Gravel Fill	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	12	2	23	41	Very Dk Br Sa Cl Fill	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	12	3	41	49	Strong Br Sa Si W/ Gvl	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	12	4	49	63	Br Sa W/ Gvl	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	13	1	0	25	Gravel Fill	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	13	2	25	44	Br Sa W/ Gvl	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	13	3	44	57	Yl Br Si Lo W/ Rocks & Gvl	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	14	1	0	12	Asphalt	N	RAK	3/22/16
A	14	2	12	40	Gr Gvl W/ Cobbles	N	RAK	3/22/16
A	14	3	40	83	Mottled Rd Br / Br Sa W/ Gvl & Cobbles; Stopped By Rock	N	RAK	3/22/16
A	15	1	0	10	Asphalt	N	RAK	3/22/16
A	15	2	10	25	Gr Gvl W/ Cobbles	N	RAK	3/22/16
A	15	3	25	50	Dk Br Sa W/ Gvl & Cobbles	N	RAK	3/22/16
A	15	4	50	78	Mottled Yl Br / Br Sa W/ Gvl & Cobbles; Stopped By Rocks	N	RAK	3/22/16
A	16	1	0	24	Gr Sandy Fill	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	16	2	24	50	Br Fill; Stopped By Asphalt	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	17	1	0	20	Sandy Gravel Fill	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	17	2	20	34	Gr Si Cl W/ Plastic & Styrofoam	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16



STP	#	Level	Beg	End	Soil Description	CM	Crew	Date
A	17	3	34	52	Dk Br Cl W/ Gvl, Plastic & Styrofoam; Stopped By Rock (In Natural Soils)	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	18	1	0	27	Sandy Gravel Fill	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	18	2	27	45	Yl Br Sa W/ Large Rock & Gvl	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	18	3	45	62	Br Sa W/ Large Rock & Gvl; Stopped By Rock	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	19	1	0	10	Asphalt	N	RAK	3/22/16
A	19	2	10	26	Gr Gvl	N	RAK	3/22/16
A	19	3	26	46	Yl Br Sa W/ Gvl & Cobbles	N	RAK	3/22/16
A	19	4	46	60	Pale Yl Si Sa W/ Cobbles	N	RAK	3/22/16
A	20	1	0	20	Sandy Gravel Fill	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	20	2	20	30	Yl Br Sa Si W/ Gvl Fill	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	20	3	30	43	Br Sa Si W/ Fill; Stopped By Asphalt At Base (No Natural Soils)	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	21	1	0	20	Gravel Fill	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	21	2	20	53	Br Si Sa W/ Gvl Fill & Large Rock; Stopped By Rock	N	GD/JFA	3/22/16
A	22	1	0	33	Gr Sandy Fill	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	22	2	33	45	Asphalt Layer W/ Strong Br Sa & Gvl; Stopped By Asphalt	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	23	1	0	24	Gravel Fill	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	23	2	24	41	Yl Br Sa W/ Large Rock, Concrete & Asphalt	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16
A	23	3	41	54	Dk Gr Br Sa W/ Gvl, Asphalt & Concrete; Stopped By Concrete	N	JFA/GD	3/22/16



APPENDIX III. PROJECT MAPS



Figure 11. Map of the Area of Potential Effect (APE), showing STPs and proposed building footprint.




Figure 12. Map showing APE and footprints of MDS.

Intro No. 23
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by [Signature]
Co. Attorney
Date 4/28/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Finance Committee

RESOLUTION APPROVING THE SALE OF COUNTY-OWNED REAL PROPERTY IN THE CITY OF BINGHAMTON

WHEREAS, the County of Broome now owns real property, Tax Map #160.47-2-26, 36 Leroy Street in the City of Binghamton, and

WHEREAS, in conformity with the procedures established by this County Legislature and in accordance with all applicable laws, the Director of Real Property Tax Services requests authorization to sell Tax Map #160.47-2-26, 36 Leroy Street in the City of Binghamton for \$10,000 to 34 Leroy LLC, P.O. Box 1554, Binghamton, New York 13902, now, therefore, be it,

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes the sale of County-owned real property, Tax Map #160.47-2-26, 36 Leroy Street in the City of Binghamton for \$10,000 to 34 Leroy LLC, P.O. Box 1554, Binghamton, New York 13902, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the payments hereinabove referenced shall be credited to budget line 17000001.50000002.1010 (Gain on Sale), and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby authorized to execute quit claim deeds, approved as to form by the Department of Law, conveying the properties listed above to the new owners, together with other such documents as may be necessary to implement the intent of this Resolution.

Intro No. 24
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by CDS
Co. Attorney _____
Date 4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Economic Development, Education & Culture and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT WITH THE SPIEDIE FEST & BALLOON RALLY EXPO, INC. FOR FUNDING FROM THE MARKETING AND ECONOMIC DEVELOPMENT ALLOCATION OF THE OCCUPANCY TAX FOR 2016

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Planning & Economic Development requests authorization for an agreement with Spiedie Fest & Balloon Rally, Expo, Inc. for funding in the amount not to exceed \$22,500 from the Marketing and Economic Development allocation of the occupancy tax for the period June 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016, and

WHEREAS, said funding will be used to assist with expenses associated with the Spiedie Fest & Balloon Rally Expo., now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes an agreement with the Spiedie Fest & Balloon Rally Expo, Inc., PO Box 275 Westview Station, Binghamton, New York 13905, for funding from the Marketing and Economic Development allocation of the occupancy tax for the period June 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in consideration of said agreement, the County shall pay the Contractor an amount not to exceed \$22,500 for the term of the agreement, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the payments hereinabove authorized shall be made from budget line 90000099.6005026 (Marketing & Economic Development), and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution.

Intro No.

25

Date

5/19/16

Reviewed by
Co. Attorney

PWA

Date

4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Economic Development, Education & Culture and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AGREEMENT WITH SPIEDIE FEST AND BALLOON RALLY EXPO, INC. FOR USE OF OTSININGO PARK FOR THE 2016 SPIEDIE FEST & BALLOON RALLY

WHEREAS, the Commissioner of Parks, Recreation and Youth Services requests authorization for an agreement with Spiedie Fest and Balloon Rally Expo, Inc. for the use of Otsiningo Park for the 2016 Spiedie Fest & Balloon Rally, for the period August 2, 2016 through August 9, 2016, and

WHEREAS, said Spiedie Fest is a community event that is enjoyed by many Broome County residents, attracts visitors from around the world, and enhances the quality of life in Broome County, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes an agreement with Spiedie Fest and Balloon Rally Expo, Inc., P.O. Box 275 Westview Station, Binghamton, New York 13905, on similar terms and conditions as the previous year's agreement whereby the County will provide the use of Otsiningo Park for the Spiedie Fest during the period August 2, 2016 through August 9, 2016, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Department of Parks, Recreation & Youth Services, the Division of Security, the Office of the Sheriff and the Office of Emergency Services will provide in-kind support services, total amount not to exceed \$58,000, and should the value of services provided by the County exceed \$58,000, the Spiedie Fest Balloon and Rally Expo, Inc. will provide up to \$7,000 in aggregate reimbursement for such additional expenses, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, the Spiedie Fest will provide, at its own expense, insurance, transportation to the site, programs, set up of tents, traffic coordination, traffic control on County highways in and around the festival site provided by the Office of the Sheriff, on-site emergency services and temporary restroom facilities, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that any reimbursement revenue hereinabove authorized shall be credited to the unclassified revenue line for the relevant Department various.5000533 (Unclassified Revenue), and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution.

Intro No. 26
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by AGA
Co. Attorney
Date 4/25/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Personnel, Health & Human Services and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING HIRING CREIG HEBDON AS SENIOR PUBLIC HEALTH ENGINEER AT ABOVE THE MINIMUM SALARY FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF HEALTH

WHEREAS, Director of Public Health has recommended the hiring of Creig Hebdon as Senior Public Health Engineer, Grade 28, Union Code 07 BAPA, at the annual salary of \$79,907, 40 hours weekly, and

WHEREAS, Creig Hebdon is qualified as a Senior Public Health Engineer and has experience and education to justify said salary, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes the hiring of Creig Hebdon, 311 Elm Street, Groton, New York 13073 as Senior Public Health Engineer Grade 28, Union Code 07 BAPA, at \$79,907 (minimum annual salary \$75,320), 40 hours weekly, budget line 25050004.6001000.1010, effective May 23, 2016.

Intro No. 27
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by RLS
Co. Attorney
Date 4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Economic Development, Education & Culture and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING AN AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT WITH FOOD CONSULTANTS, INC. TO PROVIDE FOR ARENA AND FORUM CONCESSIONS FOR THE PERIOD 2012-2022

WHEREAS, the County through its Department of Public Works, Parks Recreation and Youth Services operates the Broome County Veterans Memorial (hereinafter called the "Arena") and the Broome County Center for the Performing Arts (hereinafter called the "Forum") a theater located in Binghamton, New York, and

WHEREAS, the parties entered into an agreement whereby Food Consultants Inc. will provide concession services at the Arena and Forum for the period July 1, 2012 through August 31, 2022, and,

WHEREAS, the parties desire to amend this agreement as set forth in this resolution, now therefore, be it,

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes an amendment to the agreement with Food Consultants Inc., 137 Corporate Drive, Binghamton, New York 13904 for the period July 1, 2012 through August 31, 2022 on the following terms and conditions:

1. The dollar threshold in the last paragraph of Section 4(a)(i),(ii) and (iii) shall be adjusted on January 1 of each year based on the increase or decrease in the Consumer Price Index Food Away from Home unadjusted (1982-1984 = 100) as most recently published by the Bureau of Labor Statistics.
2. That on or before February 1 of each year Food Consultants Inc. shall notify the County in writing of the annual adjustments to the contract thresholds referenced in paragraph 1.
3. That Food Consultants Inc. shall notify the County when the thresholds referenced in paragraph 1 have been reached. This written notification shall occur within fifteen days of a threshold having been reached.
4. Except as herein provided, the existing agreement between the County and Food Consultants Inc. shall remain in full force and effect.

and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents or papers approved as to form by the Department of Law as may be necessary to implement the intent or purpose of this Resolution.

Intro No. 28
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by [Signature]
Co. Attorney
Date 5-2-2016

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Health & Human Services and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING REVISION OF THE ADDICTION CENTER PROGRAM GRANT FOR THE DEPARTMENT OF MENTAL HEALTH, ADOPTING A REVISED PROGRAM BUDGET AND AUTHORIZING AMENDMENT TO THE AGREEMENT WITH THE ADDICTION CENTER TO ADMINISTER SAID PROGRAM FOR 2016

WHEREAS, this County Legislature, by Resolution 450 of 2015, authorized renewal of the Addiction Center Program Grant for the Department of Mental Health, adopted a program budget in the amount of \$158,961 and authorized an agreement with the Addiction Center of Broome County to administer said program for the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016, and

WHEREAS, said program grant provides outpatient counseling services to individuals 18 and older, including specialty services for felony DWI offenders, relapse-prone individuals, co-dependent individuals and mentally ill substance abusers, and

WHEREAS, it is necessary at this time to revise said program to reflect an increase in the amount of \$250,000 in grant appropriations and amend the agreement with the Addiction Center of Broome County to reflect an increase in the amount of \$250,000 to provide a Family Navigator and Peer Advocate in emergency rooms to assist families struggling with addiction issues to navigate barriers and connect to appropriate services, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes a revision of the Addiction Center Program Grant to reflect an increase of \$250,000 for the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby approves and adopts the revised program budget annexed hereto as Exhibit "A" in the total amount of \$408,961, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes an amendment to the agreement with the Addiction Center of Broome County, 30 West State Street, Binghamton, New York 13901, to reflect an increase of \$250,000 to provide a Family Navigator and Peer Advocate in emergency rooms to assist families struggling with addiction issues to navigate barriers and connect to appropriate services for the Department of Mental Health for the period January 1, 2016 through December 31, 2016, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in consideration of said services, the County shall pay the Contractor an amount not to exceed \$408,961 for the term of the agreement, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that Resolution 450 of 2015, to the extent consistent herewith, shall remain in full force and effect, and be it

Intro No. _____

Date _____

Reviewed by
Co. Attorney _____

Date _____

28
5/19/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby authorized to execute any such agreements, documents or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered (with the approval of the grantor agency) to reduce the time period of the grant provided there is no change in the grant budget or extend the terms of the grant agreement for the purpose of expending any unexpended grant funds, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative (including the Director of Management and Budget and/or Comptroller) is hereby authorized to make any transfers of funds within this grant budget provided that employee head count is not increased, the County's contribution is not increased, and the salary rate or salary total for a position is not changed.

Exhibit A

Broome County Mental Health
2016 Addiction Center of Broome County
01/01/16-12/31/16
Dept. ID 26000004
Project Code - 2610406

		<u>Approved</u> <u>Budget</u> <u>01/01/16-12/31/16</u>	<u>Proposed</u> <u>Changes</u> <u>01/01/16-12/31/16</u>	<u>Proposed</u> <u>Budget</u> <u>01/01/16-12/31/16</u>
Description				
Appropriations				
Contractual Expenses				
6004621	Building/Land Rental Chargeback	\$ 3,803	\$ -	\$ 3,803
6005005	Contracted Services - ACBC	\$ 155,158	\$ 250,000	\$ 405,158
	Total Contractual Expenses	\$ 158,961	\$ 250,000	\$ 408,961
Revenues				
5000808	State Aid-Other	\$ -	\$ 250,000	\$ 250,000
5000916	Federal Aid	\$ 97,114	\$ -	\$ 97,114
5000562	Transfer from General Funds	\$ 61,847	\$ -	\$ 61,847
	Total Grant Revenue	\$ 158,961	\$ -	\$ 408,961

Intro No. 29
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by
Co. Attorney CDS
Date 4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Public Safety & Emergency Services and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING A MEMORANDUM OF UNDERSTANDING BETWEEN THE TOWN OF VESTAL AND THE BROOME COUNTY OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES FOR USE OF TOWER SPACE IN THE TOWN OF VESTAL

WHEREAS, the Director of the Office of Emergency Services requests authorization for a Memorandum of Understanding between the Town of Vestal and the Broome County Office of Emergency Services for use of tower space in the Town of Vestal, and

WHEREAS, said memorandum of understanding is necessary to allow the County to use space on the Town of Vestal's Andrew and Kopernick tower sites for mounting antennas for the operation of certain radio equipment, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby authorizes a Memorandum of Understanding between the Town of Vestal, 605 Vestal Parkway West, Vestal, New York 13850 and the Broome County Office of Emergency Services for tower space in the Town of Vestal, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive or her duly authorized representative is hereby empowered to execute any such agreements, documents, or papers, approved as to form by the Department of Law, as may be necessary to implement the intent and purpose of this Resolution.

Intro No. 30
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by CDS
Co. Attorney
Date 4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Economic Development, Education & Culture Committee

RESOLUTION DESIGNATING THE BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE AS LEAD AGENCY AND TO CONDUCT A COORDINATED REVIEW UNDER THE STATE ENVIRONMENTAL QUALITY REVIEW ACT IN REGARDS TO THE EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM UPGRADE PROJECT

WHEREAS, Broome County is currently implementing a project to improve its existing emergency communications system, and

WHEREAS, it has been determined that such Project is subject to the requirements of the State Environmental Quality Review Act, and it is therefore necessary to initiate procedures with respect thereto, and

WHEREAS, this County Legislature has determined that it is the agency with the broadest governmental powers for investigation of the impact of the proposed action and has the greatest capability for providing the most thorough environmental assessment of the project, and

WHEREAS, this County Legislature, the City of Binghamton, Delaware County, Tioga County, Town of Barker, Town of Binghamton, Town of Dickinson, Town of Fenton, Town of Kirkwood, Town of Union, Town of Vestal, Town of Windsor, Village of Deposit and NYSDEC are the involved agencies, as defined in the State Environmental Quality Review Act, reviewing the aforementioned project, and

WHEREAS, said Project may have an impact on the environment, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby declares its intention to seek lead agency status with respect to the environmental review of the Emergency Communications System Upgrade Project.

Intro No. 31
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by CDS
Co. Attorney
Date 4/29/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Economic Development, Education & Culture Committee

RESOLUTION RENDERING A "NEGATIVE DECLARATION" WITH RESPECT TO THE OFFICE OF EMERGENCY SERVICES EMERGENCY COMMUNICATIONS SYSTEM UPGRADE PROJECT

WHEREAS, this County Legislature, by Companion Resolution Intro. #30 designated the Broome County Legislature as Lead Agency with respect to the Office of Emergency Services Emergency Communications System Upgrade Project, and

WHEREAS, it is hereby determined that such Project is subject to the requirements of the State Environmental Quality Review Act (SEQRA), and it is therefore necessary to initiate procedures with respect thereto, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that this County Legislature, based on the Full Environmental Assessment Form annexed hereto as Exhibit "A" hereby determines and declares that the adoption of the proposed Project will not have a significant effect on the environment, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this County Legislature hereby adopts the "Negative Declaration" annexed hereto.

**State Environmental Quality Review – Full
Environmental Assessment Form (FEAF)
Parts I, II, and III**

Full Environmental Assessment Form
Part 1 - Project and Setting

Instructions for Completing Part 1

Part 1 is to be completed by the applicant or project sponsor. Responses become part of the application for approval or funding, are subject to public review, and may be subject to further verification.

Complete Part 1 based on information currently available. If additional research or investigation would be needed to fully respond to any item, please answer as thoroughly as possible based on current information; indicate whether missing information does not exist, or is not reasonably available to the sponsor; and, when possible, generally describe work or studies which would be necessary to update or fully develop that information.

Applicants/sponsors must complete all items in Sections A & B. In Sections C, D & E, most items contain an initial question that must be answered either "Yes" or "No". If the answer to the initial question is "Yes", complete the sub-questions that follow. If the answer to the initial question is "No", proceed to the next question. Section F allows the project sponsor to identify and attach any additional information. Section G requires the name and signature of the project sponsor to verify that the information contained in Part 1 is accurate and complete.

A. Project and Sponsor Information.

Name of Action or Project: <i>Broome County Emergency Communication Systems Improvements Project.</i>		
Project Location (describe, and attach a general location map): <i>See the Broome County Communication Systems Tower Sites Plan, and the individual topography maps and aerial photos.</i>		
Brief Description of Proposed Action (include purpose or need): <i>Broome County is in the planning / design phase to improve its emergency communications system. The project includes the replacement of infrastructure that has met its useful service life at six existing communications sites, and the addition of six new sites to improve interoperability among emergency responders throughout the county. Upgrades to existing sites will generally consist of the addition of 911 communication antennas to existing towers/structures and necessary site construction for installation of this equipment. New communication sites development will generally consist of the construction of a new communications tower, equipment shelter, emergency generator, and associated site/civil construction.</i>		
Name of Applicant/Sponsor: <i>Broome County/ Jerry F Marinich, Director</i>		Telephone: 607-778-1208
		E-Mail: jmarinich@co.broome.ny.us
Address: 153 Lt. VanWinkle Drive		
City/PO: Binghamton	State: New York	Zip Code: 13905
Project Contact (if not same as sponsor; give name and title/role): <i>Robert N. Duclos, P.E., Vice President, C&S Companies</i>		Telephone: : 315-455-2000
		E-Mail: bduclos@cscos.com
Address: <i>499 Col. Eileen Collins Blvd.</i>		
City/PO: <i>Syracuse</i>	State: <i>New York</i>	Zip Code: <i>13212</i>
Property Owner (if not same as sponsor): <i>Multiple land owners. Varies, Multiple Sites</i>		Telephone: <i>NA</i>
		E-Mail: <i>NA</i>
Address: <i>Multiple addresses. See Project Summary/Overview and General Information Table.</i>		
City/PO: <i>See Project Summary/Overview and General Information Table.</i>	State: <i>New York</i>	Zip Code: <i>See Project Summary/Overview and General Information Table.</i>

B Government Approvals

B. Government Approvals, Funding, or Sponsorship. ("Funding" includes grants, loans, tax relief, and any other forms of financial assistance.)		
Government Entity	If Yes: Identify Agency and Approval(s) Required	Application Date (Actual or projected)
a. City Council, Town Board, <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No or Village Board of Trustees	NA	NA
b. City, Town or Village <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Planning Board or Commission	NA	NA
c. City Council, Town or <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No Village Zoning Board of Appeals	NA	NA
d. Other local agencies <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA	NA
e. County agencies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	Building Permits	May 2016
f. Regional agencies <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	NA	NA
g. State agencies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	NYS Dept. of Homeland Security; SICG Funding Grant; and SHPO.	NA
h. Federal agencies <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	FCC Radio Frequency Licensing.	NA
i. Coastal Resources. <ul style="list-style-type: none"> i. Is the project site within a Coastal Area, or the waterfront area of a Designated Inland Waterway? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No ii. Is the project site located in a community with an approved Local Waterfront Revitalization Program? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No iii. Is the project site within a Coastal Erosion Hazard Area? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No (B(i)(ii) 'Yes' response pertains to the NYS Office Bldg. site)		

C. Planning and Zoning

C.1. Planning and zoning actions.	
Will administrative or legislative adoption, or amendment of a plan, local law, ordinance, rule or regulation be the only approval(s) which must be granted to enable the proposed action to proceed?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
<ul style="list-style-type: none"> • If Yes, complete sections C, F and G. • If No, proceed to question C.2 and complete all remaining sections and questions in Part 1 	
C.2. Adopted land use plans.	
a. Do any municipally- adopted (city, town, village or county) comprehensive land use plan(s) include the site where the proposed action would be located?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If Yes, does the comprehensive plan include specific recommendations for the site where the proposed action would be located? NA	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
b. Is the site of the proposed action within any local or regional special planning district (for example: Greenway Brownfield Opportunity Area (BOA); designated State or Federal heritage area; watershed management plan; or other?)	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No
If Yes, identify the plan(s): <ul style="list-style-type: none"> - Remediation Sites V00298 (Public Safety Facility) - Remediation Sites 704038 (Union) - NYS Heritage Areas: Susquehanna (All 12 Sites) 	
c. Is the proposed action located wholly or partially within an area listed in an adopted municipal open space plan, or an adopted municipal farmland protection plan?	<input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No
If Yes, identify the plan(s):	

C.3. Zoning

a. Is the site of the proposed action located in a municipality with an adopted zoning law or ordinance? Yes No
If Yes, what is the zoning classification(s) including any applicable overlay district?
Project is not subject to local Planning and Zoning.

b. Is the use permitted or allowed by a special or conditional use permit? *Yes*

c. Is a zoning change requested as part of the proposed action? *No*
If Yes,
i. What is the proposed new zoning for the site? *NA*

C.4. Existing community services.

a. In what school district is the project site located?
Multiple school districts serve the project area.

b. What police or other public protection forces serve the project site?
Multiple police agencies serve the project area.

c. Which fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project site?
Multiple fire protection and emergency medical services serve the project area.

d. What parks serve the project site? *None.*

D. Project Details

D.1. Proposed and Potential Development

a. What is the general nature of the proposed action (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial, recreational; if mixed, include all components)?
Commercial and Municipal.

b. a. Total acreage of the site of the proposed action? *~3-acres*
b. Total acreage to be physically disturbed? *~3-acres*
c. Total acreage (project site and any contiguous properties) owned or controlled by the applicant or project sponsor? *5.2-acres*

c. Is the proposed action an expansion of an existing project or use? Yes No
i. If Yes, what is the approximate percentage of the proposed expansion and identify the units (e.g., acres, miles, housing units, square feet)? % *** _____ Units: *** _____

d. Is the proposed action a subdivision, or does it include a subdivision? Yes No
If Yes,
i. Purpose or type of subdivision? (e.g., residential, industrial, commercial; if mixed, specify types) *NA*
ii. Is a cluster/conservation layout proposed? *NA* Yes No
iii. Number of lots proposed? *NA*
iv. Minimum and maximum proposed lot sizes? Minimum *NA* Maximum *NA*

e. Will proposed action be constructed in multiple phases? Yes No
i. If No, anticipated period of construction: *6 months*
ii. If Yes:
• Total number of phases anticipated *NA*
• Anticipated commencement date of phase 1 (including demolition) *NA*
• Anticipated completion date of final phase *NA*
• Generally describe connections or relationships among phases, including any contingencies where progress of one phase may determine timing or duration of future phases: *NA*

<p>f. Does the project include new residential uses? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, show numbers of units proposed. <i>NA</i></p> <p style="text-align: center;"> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>One Family</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Two Family</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Three Family</u> <input type="checkbox"/> <u>Multiple Family (four or more)</u> </p> <p>Initial Phase _____ At completion _____ of all phases _____</p>
<p>g. Does the proposed action include new non-residential construction (including expansions)? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No If Yes,</p> <p>i. Total number of structures: 6 new self-supporting lattice communications towers w/ backup generators, and equipment shelters. ii. Approximate extent of building space to be heated or cooled: 240 square feet per site</p>
<p>h. Does the proposed action include construction or other activities that will result in the impoundment of any liquids, such as creation of a water supply, reservoir, pond, lake, waste lagoon or other storage? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes, <i>NA</i></p> <p>i. Purpose of the impoundment: _____ ii. If a water impoundment, the principal source of the water: <input type="checkbox"/> Ground water <input type="checkbox"/> Surface water streams <input type="checkbox"/> Other specify: iii. If other than water, identify the type of impounded/contained liquids and their source. iv. Approximate size of the proposed impoundment. Volume: million gallons; surface area: acres v. Dimensions of the proposed dam or impounding structure: height; length vi. Construction method/materials for the proposed dam or impounding structure (e.g., earth fill, rock, wood, concrete):</p>
<p>D.2. Project Operations</p>
<p>a. Does the proposed action include any excavation, mining, or dredging, during construction, operations, or both? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No (Not including general site preparation, grading or installation of utilities or foundations where all excavated materials will remain onsite) If Yes:</p> <p>i. What is the purpose of the excavation or dredging? <i>Construction of the new sites requires excavation for the tower foundation, shelter, and generator pad.</i></p> <p>ii. How much material (including rock, earth, sediments, etc.) is proposed to be removed from the site?</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none"> • Volume (specify tons or cubic yards): 0.0 tons/cubic yards • Over what duration of time? <i>NA</i> <p>iii. Describe nature and characteristics of materials to be excavated or dredged, and plans to use, manage or dispose of them. <i>Bedrock or soil will be excavated for the installation of the towers. The excavated material is typically reused on site as backfill or reused to level off the site after construction has commenced.</i></p> <p>iv. Will there be onsite dewatering or processing of excavated materials? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If yes, describe. <i>NA</i></p> <p>v. What is the total area to be dredged or excavated? 10,000 sq2 vi. What is the maximum area to be worked at any one time? ±0.5-acrs vii. What would be the maximum depth of excavation or dredging? 5-ft viii. Will the excavation require blasting? <i>No</i> ix. Summarize site reclamation goals and plan: <i>NA</i></p>
<p>b. Would the proposed action cause or result in alteration of, increase or decrease in size of, or encroachment into any existing wetland, waterbody, shoreline, beach or adjacent area? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No If Yes:</p> <p>i. Identify the wetland or waterbody which would be affected (by name, water index number, wetland map number or geographic description): <i>NA</i></p>

ii. Describe how the proposed action would affect that waterbody or wetland, e.g. excavation, fill, placement of structures, or alteration of channels, banks and shorelines. Indicate extent of activities, alterations and additions in square feet or acres:
NA

iii. Will proposed action cause or result in disturbance to bottom sediments? Yes No
If Yes, describe: _____

iv. Will proposed action cause or result in the destruction or removal of aquatic vegetation? Yes No
If Yes:

- acres of aquatic vegetation proposed to be removed: *NA*
- expected acreage of aquatic vegetation remaining after project completion: *NA*
- purpose of proposed removal (e.g. beach clearing, invasive species control, boat access): *NA*
- proposed method of plant removal: *NA*
- if chemical/herbicide treatment will be used, specify product(s): *NA*

v. Describe any proposed reclamation/mitigation following disturbance: *NA*

c. Will the proposed action use, or create a new demand for water? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Total anticipated water usage/demand per day: *NA* gallons/day

ii. Will the proposed action obtain water from an existing public water supply? Yes No

If Yes:

- Name of district or service area: *NA*
- Does the existing public water supply have capacity to serve the proposal? *NA* Yes No
- Is the project site in the existing district? *NA* Yes No
- Is expansion of the district needed? *NA* Yes No
- Do existing lines serve the project site? *NA* Yes No

iii. Will line extension within an existing district be necessary to supply the project? Yes No

If Yes:

- Describe extensions or capacity expansions proposed to serve this project: *NA*
- Source(s) of supply for the district: *NA*

iv. Is a new water supply district or service area proposed to be formed to serve the project site? Yes No

If Yes:

- Applicant/sponsor for new district: *NA*
- Date application submitted or anticipated: *NA*
- Proposed source(s) of supply for new district: *NA*

v. If a public water supply will not be used, describe plans to provide water supply for the project: *NA*

vi. If water supply will be from wells (public or private), maximum pumping capacity: *NA* gallons/minute.

d. Will the proposed action generate liquid wastes? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Total anticipated liquid waste generation per day: *NA* gallons/day

ii. Nature of liquid wastes to be generated (e.g., sanitary wastewater, industrial; if combination, describe all components and approximate volumes or proportions of each): *NA*

iii. Will the proposed action use any existing public wastewater treatment facilities? Yes No

If Yes:

- Name of wastewater treatment plant to be used: *NA*
- Name of district: *NA*
- Does the existing wastewater treatment plant have capacity to serve the project? *NA* Yes No
- Is the project site in the existing district? *NA* Yes No
- Is expansion of the district needed? *NA* Yes No

g. Will any air emission sources named in D.2.f (above), require a NY State Air Registration, Air Facility Permit, or Federal Clean Air Act Title IV or Title V Permit? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Is the project site located in an Air quality non-attainment area? (Area routinely or periodically fails to meet ambient air quality standards for all or some parts of the year) *NA* Yes No

ii. In addition to emissions as calculated in the application, the project will generate: *NA*

- _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide (CO₂)
- _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Nitrous Oxide (N₂O)
- _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Perfluorocarbons (PFCs)
- _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Sulfur Hexafluoride (SF₆)
- _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Carbon Dioxide equivalent of Hydrofluorocarbons (HFCs)
- _____ Tons/year (short tons) of Hazardous Air Pollutants (HAPs)

h. Will the proposed action generate or emit methane (including, but not limited to, sewage treatment plants, landfills, composting facilities)? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Estimate methane generation in tons/year (metric): *NA*

ii. Describe any methane capture, control or elimination measures included in project design (e.g., combustion to generate heat or electricity, flaring): *NA*

i. Will the proposed action result in the release of air pollutants from open-air operations or processes, such as quarry or landfill operations? Yes No

If Yes: Describe operations and nature of emissions (e.g., diesel exhaust, rock particulates/dust): *NA*

j. Will the proposed action result in a substantial increase in traffic above present levels or generate substantial new demand for transportation facilities or services? Yes No

If Yes:

i. When is the peak traffic expected (Check all that apply): Morning Evening Weekend
 Randomly between hours of _____ to _____, *NA*

ii. For commercial activities only, projected number of semi-trailer truck trips/day: *NA*

iii. Parking spaces: Existing _____ Proposed _____ Net increase/decrease *NA*

iv. Does the proposed action include any shared use parking? Yes No

v. If the proposed action includes any modification of existing roads, creation of new roads or change in existing access, describe: *NA*

vi. Are public/private transportation service(s) or facilities available within ½ mile of the proposed site? Yes No

vii. Will the proposed action include access to public transportation or accommodations for use of hybrid, electric or other alternative fueled vehicles? Yes No

viii. Will the proposed action include plans for pedestrian or bicycle accommodations for connections to existing pedestrian or bicycle routes? Yes No

k. Will the proposed action (for commercial or industrial projects only) generate new or additional demand for energy? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Estimate annual electricity demand during operation of the proposed action:
100 amp service will be provided for each of the new sites.

ii. Anticipated sources/suppliers of electricity for the project (e.g., on-site combustion, on-site renewable, via grid/local utility, or other): *Local utility.*

iii. Will the proposed action require a new, or an upgrade to, an existing substation? Yes No

I. Hours of operation. Answer all items which apply.

i. During Construction:

- Monday - Friday: 8 AM – 5 PM
- Saturday: NA
- Sunday: NA
- Holidays: NA

ii. During Operations:

- Monday - Friday: 24 hours unmanned.
- Saturday: 24 hours unmanned.
- Sunday: 24 hours unmanned.
- Holidays: 24 hours unmanned.

m. Will the proposed action produce noise that will exceed existing ambient noise levels during construction, operation, or both? Yes No

If yes:

i. Provide details including sources, time of day and duration:

Construction Equipment 8AM – 5 PM during construction phase only.

Operation: Backup electric generators will run during periods of electric utility power failures.

ii. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a noise barrier or screen? Yes No
Describe: _____

n. Will the proposed action have outdoor lighting? Yes No

If yes: Describe source(s), location(s), height of fixture(s), direction/aim, and proximity to nearest occupied structures:

NA

i. Will proposed action remove existing natural barriers that could act as a light barrier or screen? Yes No
Describe: _____

o. Does the proposed action have the potential to produce odors for more than one hour per day? Yes No

If Yes, describe possible sources, potential frequency and duration of odor emissions, and proximity to nearest occupied structures: *NA*

p. Will the proposed action include any bulk storage of petroleum (combined capacity of over 1,100 gallons) or chemical products 185 gallons in above ground storage or any amount in underground storage? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Product(s) to be stored: *NA*

ii. Volume(s) _____ per unit time _____ (e.g., month, year) *NA*

iii. Generally describe proposed storage facilities: *NA*

q. Will the proposed action (commercial, industrial and recreational projects only) use pesticides (i.e., herbicides, insecticides) during construction or operation? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Describe proposed treatment(s): *NA*

ii. Will the proposed action use Integrated Pest Management Practices? Yes No

r. Will the proposed action (commercial or industrial projects only) involve or require the management or disposal of solid waste (excluding hazardous materials)? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Describe any solid waste(s) to be generated during construction or operation of the facility:

- Construction: *Minimal construction debris generated (± 100 lbs. of waste per site.)*
- Operation : *None* tons per _____ (unit of time)

ii. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of materials to avoid disposal as solid waste:

- Construction: *Material will be recycled or reused whenever possible.*
- Operation: *NA*

iii. Proposed disposal methods/facilities for solid waste generated on-site:

- Construction: *Dumpster service for municipal solid waste.*
- Operation: *NA*

s. Does the proposed action include construction or modification of a solid waste management facility? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Type of management or handling of waste proposed for the site (e.g., recycling or transfer station, composting, landfill, or other disposal activities): *NA*

ii. Anticipated rate of disposal/processing: *NA*

- _____ Tons/month, if transfer or other non-combustion/thermal treatment, or
- _____ Tons/hour, if combustion or thermal treatment

iii. If landfill, anticipated site life: *NA* years

t. Will proposed action at the site involve the commercial generation, treatment, storage, or disposal of hazardous waste? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Name(s) of all hazardous wastes or constituents to be generated, handled or managed at facility: *NA*

ii. Generally describe processes or activities involving hazardous wastes or constituents: *NA*

iii. Specify amount to be handled or generated *NA* tons/month

iv. Describe any proposals for on-site minimization, recycling or reuse of hazardous constituents: *NA*

v. Will any hazardous wastes be disposed at an existing offsite hazardous waste facility? Yes No
 If Yes: provide name and location of facility: *NA*

If No: describe proposed management of any hazardous wastes which will not be sent to a hazardous waste facility: *NA*

E. Site and Setting of Proposed Action

<u>E.1. Land uses on and surrounding the project site</u>			
a. Existing land uses.			
i. Check all uses that occur on, adjoining and near the project site.			
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Urban <input type="checkbox"/> Industrial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Commercial <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Residential (suburban) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Rural (non-farm) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Forest <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Agriculture <input type="checkbox"/> Aquatic <input type="checkbox"/> Other (specify): _____			
ii. If mix of uses, generally describe: <i>The sites have some forested, agricultural, and previously disturbed land. Minimal impacts will occur.</i>			
b. Land uses and covertypes on the project site.			
Land use or Covertypes	Current Acreage	Acreage After Project Completion	Change (Acres +/-)
• Roads, buildings, and other paved or impervious surfaces	0.0	0.0	0.0
• Forested	0.15	0	0.15
• Meadows, grasslands or brushlands (non-agricultural, including abandoned agricultural)	1.25	0	1.25
• Agricultural (includes active orchards, field, greenhouse etc.)	0.25	0.0	0.25
• Surface water features (lakes, ponds, streams, rivers, etc.)	0.0	0.0	0.0
• Wetlands (freshwater or tidal)	0.0	0.0	0.0
• Non-vegetated (bare rock, earth or fill)	0.0	0.0	0.0
• Other Describe: _____			
c. Is the project site presently used by members of the community for public recreation? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No			
i. If Yes: explain: _____			
d. Are there any facilities serving children, the elderly, people with disabilities (e.g., schools, hospitals, licensed day care centers, or group homes) within 1500 feet of the project site? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No			
If Yes,			
i. Identify Facilities: <i>New York State Office Building collocated site within 1,500-ft of three daycare facilities - Young Wonders Early Childhood Center, Broome County Urban League Inc., and Broome County YMCA. Deposit Fire Station Site within 500-ft. from Deposit Elementary and Middle Senior High School.</i>			

e. Does the project site contain an existing dam? Yes No
 If Yes: *NA*

i. Dimensions of the dam and impoundment:

- Dam height: _____ feet
- Dam length: _____ feet
- Surface area: _____ acres
- Volume impounded: _____ gallons OR acre-feet

ii. Dam's existing hazard classification: *NA*
 iii. Provide date and summarize results of last inspection: *NA*

f. Has the project site ever been used as a municipal, commercial or industrial solid waste management facility, or does the project site adjoin property which is now, or was at one time, used as a solid waste management facility? Yes No
 If Yes:

i. Has the facility been formally closed? *NA* Yes No
 • If yes, cite sources/documentation: *NA*

ii. Describe the location of the project site relative to the boundaries of the solid waste management facility: *NA*

iii. Describe any development constraints due to the prior solid waste activities: *NA*

g. Have hazardous wastes been generated, treated and/or disposed of at the site, or does the project site adjoin property which is now or was at one time used to commercially treat, store and/or dispose of hazardous waste? Yes No
 If Yes:

i. Describe waste(s) handled and waste management activities, including approximate time when activities occurred: *NA*

h. Potential contamination history. Has there been a reported spill at the proposed project site, or have any remedial actions been conducted at or adjacent to the proposed site? Yes No
According to the Andrews site EAF Mapper, "Digital mapping data are not available or are incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook."

If Yes:

i. Is any portion of the site listed on the NYSDEC Spills Incidents database or Environmental Site Remediation database? Check all that apply: Yes No

<input type="checkbox"/> Yes – Spills Incidents database	Provide DEC ID number(s): _____
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes – Environmental Site Remediation database	Provide DEC ID number(s): <u>V00298 (PSF); 704038 (Union)</u>
<input type="checkbox"/> Neither database	

ii. If site has been subject of RCRA corrective activities, describe control measures: *NA*

iii. Is the project within 2,000 feet of any site in the NYSDEC Environmental Site Remediation database? Yes No
 If yes, provide DEC ID number(s): 704055 (Old State); V00298 (PSF); 704038 (Union); C704046, V00072, C704047 (NYS Office Bldg.)

iv. If yes to (i), (ii) or (iii) above, describe current status of site(s): *Please see section F for additional information on DEC ID numbers.*

- v. Is the project site subject to an institutional control limiting property uses? Yes No
- If yes, DEC site ID number: *NA*
 - Describe the type of institutional control (e.g., deed restriction or easement): *NA*
 - Describe any use limitations: *NA*
 - Describe any engineering controls: *NA*
 - Will the project affect the institutional or engineering controls in place? *NA* Yes No
 - Explain: *NA*

E.2. Natural Resources On or Near Project Site

a. What is the average depth to bedrock on the project site? *Depth to bedrock ranged from 2.5-ft to 6.0-ft based on geotechnical boring logs completed as part of the design work.*

b. Are there bedrock outcroppings on the project site? Yes No
 If Yes, what proportion of the site is comprised of bedrock outcroppings? *NA* %

c. Predominant soil type(s) present on project site: *Soils for all sites are silt loams* *100* %
 _____ %
 _____ %

d. What is the average depth to the water table on the project site? Based on geotechnical borings logs the depth ranged from 3.7-ft to >15.8-ft

e. Drainage status of project site soils: Well Drained: *100% of site - Andrews Hill, Hawkins Hill, Ingraham Hill, Kopernik, Old State, 40% of Pease Hill Site*
 Moderately Well Drained: _____ % of site
 Poorly Drained: *60% of Pease Hill Site*

f. Approximate proportion of proposed action site with slopes: 5-15%: *100% of Hawkins Hill and Old State Road*
 0-25%: *100% of Kopernik and Pease Hill*
 15% or greater: *100% of Andrews Hill, Ingraham Hill*

g. Are there any unique geologic features on the project site? Yes No
 If Yes, describe: _____

h. Surface water features.

i. Does any portion of the project site contain wetlands or other waterbodies (including streams, rivers, No ponds or lakes)? (*PSF*) Yes No

ii. Do any wetlands or other waterbodies adjoin the project site? (*Andrews, Tuscarora*) Yes No

No If Yes to either *i* or *ii*, continue. If No, skip to E.2.i.

iii. Are any of the wetlands or waterbodies within or adjoining the project site regulated by any federal, No state or local agency? *According to Andrews site EAF Mapper, "Digital mapping information on local and federal wetlands and waterbodies is known to be incomplete. Refer to EAF Workbook." (Tuscarora)* Yes No

iv. For each identified regulated wetland and waterbody on the project site, provide the following information:

- Streams: Name _____ Classification _____
- Lakes or Ponds: Name _____ Classification _____
- Wetlands: Name _____ Approximate Size _____
- Wetland No. (if regulated by DEC)

v. Are any of the above water bodies listed in the most recent compilation of NYS water quality-impaired No waterbodies? Yes No

If yes, name of impaired water body/bodies and basis for listing as impaired: _____

i. Is the project site in a designated Floodway? Yes No

j. Is the project site in the 100 year Floodplain? Yes No

k. Is the project site in the 500 year Floodplain? Yes No

l. Is the project site located over, or immediately adjoining, a primary, principal or sole source aquifer? Yes No

No If Yes:

i. Name of aquifer: Clinton St. Ballpark SSA (All 12 sites); Principal Aquifer (PSF, Deposit Fire, & NYS Office Bldg.); and Primary Aquifer (PSF & NYS Office Bldg.)

m. Identify the predominant wildlife species that occupy or use the project site: <u>Whitetail deer, grey squirrel, raccoon</u>	
n. Does the project site contain a designated significant natural community? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes:	
i. Describe the habitat/community (composition, function, and basis for designation): _____	
ii. Source(s) of description or evaluation: _____	
iii. Extent of community/habitat:	
• Currently: _____ acres	
• Following completion of project as proposed: _____ acres	
• Gain or loss (indicate + or -): _____ acres	
o. Does project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by the federal government or NYS as endangered or threatened, or does it contain any areas identified as habitat for an endangered or threatened species? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
<u>(Deposit Fire & NYS Office Bldg.)</u>	
p. Does the project site contain any species of plant or animal that is listed by NYS as rare, or as a species of special concern? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
<u>(NYS Office Bldg.)</u>	
q. Is the project site or adjoining area currently used for hunting, trapping, fishing or shell fishing? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
If yes, give a brief description of how the proposed action may affect that use: <u>Some sites adjoin properties that may be used for hunting, trapping, and/or fishing, but there is no affect from the proposed action.</u>	
E.3. Designated Public Resources On or Near Project Site	
a. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in a designated agricultural district certified pursuant to Agriculture and Markets Law, Article 25-AA, Section 303 and 304? <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes, provide county plus district name/number: <u>BROO004 (Hawkins); BROO005 (Pease); TIO003 (Popple Hill)</u>	
b. Are agricultural lands consisting of highly productive soils present? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
i. If Yes: acreage(s) on project site? _____	
ii. Source(s) of soil rating(s): _____	
c. Does the project site contain all or part of, or is it substantially contiguous to, a registered National Natural Landmark? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes:	
i. Nature of the natural landmark: <input type="checkbox"/> Biological Community <input type="checkbox"/> Geological Feature	
ii. Provide brief description of landmark, including values behind designation and approximate size/extent: _____	
d. Is the project site located in or does it adjoin a state listed Critical Environmental Area? <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No	
If Yes:	
i. CEA name: <u>NA</u>	
ii. Basis for designation: <u>NA</u>	
iii. Designating agency and date: <u>NA</u>	

e. Does the project site contain, or is it substantially contiguous to, a building, archaeological site, or district which is listed on, or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on, the State or National Register of Historic Places? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Nature of historic/archaeological resource: Archaeological Site Historic Building or District

ii. Name: Court Street Historic District (NYS Office Bldg.)

iii. Brief description of attributes on which listing is based:
According to EAF Mapper, the NYS Office Bldg. site is associated with the National Register of Historic Places, and that "Digital mapping data are not available or are complete. Refer to EAF Workbook." According to the National Parks Service National Register of Historic Places Asset Metadata indicates the Applicable Criteria as "Architectural/Engineering Late 19th and 20th Century Revivals."

f. Is the project site, or any portion of it, located in or adjacent to an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory? Yes No
(Old State, PSF, Deposit Fire, & NYS Office Bldg.)

g. Have additional archaeological or historic site(s) or resources been identified on the project site? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Describe possible resource(s): NA

ii. Basis for identification: NA

h. Is the project site within five miles of any officially designated and publicly accessible federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Identify resource: NA

ii. Nature of, or basis for, designation (e.g., established highway overlook, state or local park, state historic trail or scenic byway, etc.): NA

iii.

i. Is the project site located within a designated river corridor under the Wild, Scenic and Recreational Rivers Program 6 NYCRR 666? Yes No

If Yes:

i. Identify the name of the river and its designation: NA

ii. Is the activity consistent with development restrictions contained in 6NYCRR Part 666? Yes No

F. Additional Information

Attach any additional information which may be needed to clarify your project.
 If you have identified any adverse impacts which could be associated with your proposal, please describe those impacts plus any measures which you propose to avoid or minimize them.

G. Verification

I certify that the information provided is true to the best of my knowledge.

Applicant/Sponsor Name Broome County Date _____

Signature _____

Title _____

Full Environmental Assessment Form
Part 2 - Identification of Potential Project Impacts

Project: _____
 Date: _____

Part 2 is to be completed by the lead agency. Part 2 is designed to help the lead agency inventory all potential resources that could be affected by a proposed project or action. We recognize that the lead agency's reviewer(s) will not necessarily be environmental professionals. So, the questions are designed to walk a reviewer through the assessment process by providing a series of questions that can be answered using the information found in Part 1. To further assist the lead agency in completing Part 2, the form identifies the most relevant questions in Part 1 that will provide the information needed to answer the Part 2 question. When Part 2 is completed, the lead agency will have identified the relevant environmental areas that may be impacted by the proposed activity.

If the lead agency is a state agency and the action is in any Coastal Area, complete the Coastal Assessment Form before proceeding with this assessment.

Tips for completing Part 2:

- Review all of the information provided in Part 1.
- Review any application, maps, supporting materials and the Full EAF Workbook.
- Answer each of the 18 questions in Part 2.
- If you answer "Yes" to a numbered question, please complete all the questions that follow in that section.
- If you answer "No" to a numbered question, move on to the next numbered question.
- Check appropriate column to indicate the anticipated size of the impact.
- Proposed projects that would exceed a numeric threshold contained in a question should result in the reviewing agency checking the box "Moderate to large impact may occur."
- The reviewer is not expected to be an expert in environmental analysis.
- If you are not sure or undecided about the size of an impact, it may help to review the sub-questions for the general question and consult the workbook.
- When answering a question consider all components of the proposed activity, that is, the *Awhole action@*.
- Consider the possibility for long-term and cumulative impacts as well as direct impacts.
- Answer the question in a reasonable manner considering the scale and context of the project.

1. Impact on Land			
Proposed action may involve construction on, or physical alteration of, the land surface of the proposed site. (See Part 1. D.1)		○ NO	☑ YES
<i>If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 2.</i>			
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may involve construction on land where depth to water table is less than 3 feet.	E2d	☑	○
b. The proposed action may involve construction on slopes of 15% or greater.	E2f	☑	○
c. The proposed action may involve construction on land where bedrock is exposed, or generally within 5 feet of existing ground surface.	E2a	☑	○
d. The proposed action may involve the excavation and removal of more than 1,000 tons of natural material.	D2a	☑	○
e. The proposed action may involve construction that continues for more than one year or in multiple phases.	D1e	☑	○
f. The proposed action may result in increased erosion, whether from physical disturbance or vegetation removal (including from treatment by herbicides).	D2e, D2q	☑	○
g. The proposed action is, or may be, located within a Coastal Erosion hazard area.	B1i	☑	○
h. Other impacts: _____		○	○

2. Impact on Geological Features

The proposed action may result in the modification or destruction of, or inhibit access to, any unique or unusual land forms on the site (e.g., cliffs, dunes, minerals, fossils, caves). (See Part 1. E.2.g)

NO

YES

If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", move on to Section 3.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Identify the specific land form(s) attached: _____	E2g	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action may affect or is adjacent to a geological feature listed as a registered National Natural Landmark. Specific feature: _____	E3c	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. Other impacts: _____		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

3. Impacts on Surface Water

The proposed action may affect one or more wetlands or other surface water bodies (e.g., streams, rivers, ponds or lakes). (See Part 1. D.2, E.2.h)

NO

YES

If "Yes", answer questions a - l. If "No", move on to Section 4.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may create a new water body.	D2b, D1h	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action may result in an increase or decrease of over 10% or more than a 10 acre increase or decrease in the surface area of any body of water.	D2b	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action may involve dredging more than 100 cubic yards of material from a wetland or water body.	D2a	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. The proposed action may involve construction within or adjoining a freshwater or tidal wetland, or in the bed or banks of any other water body.	E2h	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. The proposed action may create turbidity in a waterbody, either from upland erosion, runoff or by disturbing bottom sediments.	D2a, D2h	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. The proposed action may include construction of one or more intake(s) for withdrawal of water from surface water.	D2c	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g. The proposed action may include construction of one or more outfall(s) for discharge of wastewater to surface water(s).	D2d	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h. The proposed action may cause soil erosion, or otherwise create a source of stormwater discharge that may lead to siltation or other degradation of receiving water bodies.	D2e	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
i. The proposed action may affect the water quality of any water bodies within or downstream of the site of the proposed action.	E2h	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
j. The proposed action may involve the application of pesticides or herbicides in or around any water body.	D2q, E2h	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
k. The proposed action may require the construction of new, or expansion of existing, wastewater treatment facilities.	D1a, D2d	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

4. Impact on groundwater
 The proposed action may result in new or additional use of ground water, or may have the potential to introduce contaminants to ground water or an aquifer. (See Part 1. D.2.a, D.2.c, D.2.d, D.2.p, D.2.q, D.2.t)
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 5.

NO YES

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may require new water supply wells, or create additional demand on supplies from existing water supply wells.	D2c	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. Water supply demand from the proposed action may exceed safe and sustainable withdrawal capacity rate of the local supply or aquifer. Cite Source: _____	D2c	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action may allow or result in residential uses in areas without water and sewer services.	D1a, D2c	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. The proposed action may include or require wastewater discharged to groundwater.	D2d, E2l	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. The proposed action may result in the construction of water supply wells in locations where groundwater is, or is suspected to be, contaminated.	D2c, E1f, E1g, E1h	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. The proposed action may require the bulk storage of petroleum or chemical products over ground water or an aquifer.	D2p, E2l	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g. The proposed action may involve the commercial application of pesticides within 100 feet of potable drinking water or irrigation sources.	E2h, D2q, E2l, D2c	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h. Other impacts: _____		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

5. Impact on Flooding
 The proposed action may result in development on lands subject to flooding. (See Part 1. E.2)
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", move on to Section 6.

NO YES

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in development in a designated floodway.	E2i	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action may result in development within a 100 year floodplain.	E2j	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action may result in development within a 500 year floodplain.	E2k	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. The proposed action may result in, or require, modification of existing drainage patterns.	D2b, D2e	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. The proposed action may change flood water flows that contribute to flooding.	D2b, E2i, E2j, E2k	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. If there is a dam located on the site of the proposed action, is the dam in need of repair, or upgrade?	E1e	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

6. Impacts on Air			
The proposed action may include a state regulated air emission source. (See Part 1. D.2.f., D.2.h., D.2.g) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", move on to Section 7.</i>		<input checked="" type="radio"/> NO	<input type="radio"/> YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. If the proposed action requires federal or state air emission permits, the action may also emit one or more greenhouse gases at or above the following levels: i. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide (CO ₂) ii. More than 3.5 tons/year of nitrous oxide (N ₂ O) iii. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon equivalent of perfluorocarbons (PFCs) iv. More than .045 tons/year of sulfur hexafluoride (SF ₆) v. More than 1000 tons/year of carbon dioxide equivalent of hydrochloroflourocarbons (HFCs) emissions vi. 43 tons/year or more of methane	D2g D2g D2g D2g D2g D2h	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action may generate 10 tons/year or more of any one designated hazardous air pollutant, or 25 tons/year or more of any combination of such hazardous air pollutants.	D2g	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action may require a state air registration, or may produce an emissions rate of total contaminants that may exceed 5 lbs. per hour, or may include a heat source capable of producing more than 10 million BTU=s per hour.	D2f, D2g	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. The proposed action may reach 50% of any of the thresholds in "a" through "c", above.	D2g	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. The proposed action may result in the combustion or thermal treatment of more than 1 ton of refuse per hour.	D2s	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. Other impacts: _____		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

7. Impact on Plants and Animals			
The proposed action may result in a loss of flora or fauna. (See Part 1. E.2. m.-q.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - j. If "No", move on to Section 8.</i>		<input checked="" type="radio"/> NO	<input type="radio"/> YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may cause reduction in population or loss of individuals of any threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2o	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any rare, threatened or endangered species, as listed by New York State or the federal government.	E2o	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action may cause reduction in population, or loss of individuals, of any species of special concern or conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government, that use the site, or are found on, over, or near the site.	E2p	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. The proposed action may result in a reduction or degradation of any habitat used by any species of special concern and conservation need, as listed by New York State or the Federal government.	E2p	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

e. The proposed action may diminish the capacity of a registered National Natural Landmark to support the biological community it was established to protect.	E3c	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. The proposed action may result in the removal of, or ground disturbance in, any portion of a designated significant natural community. Source: _____	E2n	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g. The proposed action may substantially interfere with nesting/breeding, foraging, or over-wintering habitat for the predominant species that occupy or use the project site.	E2m	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h. The proposed action requires the conversion of more than 10 acres of forest, grassland or any other regionally or locally important habitat. Habitat type & information source: _____	E1b	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
i. Proposed action (commercial, industrial or recreational projects, only) involves use of herbicides or pesticides.	D2q	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
j. Other impacts: _____		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

8. Impact on Agricultural Resources

The proposed action may impact agricultural resources. (See Part 1. E.3.a. and b.)
If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", move on to Section 9.

NO

YES

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may impact soil classified within soil group 1 through 4 of the NYS Land Classification System.	E2c, E3b	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action may sever, cross or otherwise limit access to agricultural land (includes cropland, hayfields, pasture, vineyard, orchard, etc).	E1a, E1b	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action may result in the excavation or compaction of the soil profile of active agricultural land.	E3b	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. The proposed action may irreversibly convert agricultural land to non-agricultural uses, either more than 2.5 acres if located in an Agricultural District, or more than 10 acres if not within an Agricultural District.	E1b, E3a	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. The proposed action may disrupt or prevent installation of an agricultural land management system.	E1 a, E1b	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. The proposed action may result, directly or indirectly, in increased development potential or pressure on farmland.	C2c, C3, D2c, D2d	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g. The proposed project is not consistent with the adopted municipal Farmland Protection Plan.	C2c	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h. Other impacts: _____		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

9. Impact on Aesthetic Resources

The land use of the proposed action are obviously different from, or are in sharp contrast to, current land use patterns between the proposed project and a scenic or aesthetic resource. (Part 1. E.1.a, E.1.b, E.3.h.)

If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 10.

NO YES

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Proposed action may be visible from any officially designated federal, state, or local scenic or aesthetic resource.	E3h	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action may result in the obstruction, elimination or significant screening of one or more officially designated scenic views.	E3h, C2b	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action may be visible from publicly accessible vantage points: i. Seasonally (e.g., screened by summer foliage, but visible during other seasons) ii. Year round	E3h	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="radio"/>
d. The situation or activity in which viewers are engaged while viewing the proposed action is: i. Routine travel by residents, including travel to and from work ii. Recreational or tourism based activities	E3h E2q, E1c	<input checked="" type="radio"/> <input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/> <input type="radio"/>
e. The proposed action may cause a diminishment of the public enjoyment and appreciation of the designated aesthetic resource.	E3h	<input checked="" type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. There are similar projects visible within the following distance of the proposed project: 0-1/2 mile 1/2 -3 mile 3-5 mile 5+ mile	D1a, E1a, D1f, D1g	<input type="radio"/>	<input checked="" type="radio"/>
g. Other impacts: _____		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

10. Impact on Historic and Archeological Resources - *No, based on Phase I Cultural Resource Survey and No Historic Properties in Area of Potential Effects (APE)*

The proposed action may occur in or adjacent to a historic or archaeological resource. (Part 1. E.3.e, f. and g.)

NO YES

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, any buildings, archaeological site or district which is listed on or has been nominated by the NYS Board of Historic Preservation for inclusion on the State or National Register of Historic Places.	E3e	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an area designated as sensitive for archaeological sites on the NY State Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) archaeological site inventory.	E3f	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action may occur wholly or partially within, or substantially contiguous to, an archaeological site not included on the NY SHPO inventory. Source: _____	E3g	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

d. Other impacts: _____		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. If any of the above (a-d) are answered "Yes", continue with the following questions to help support conclusions in Part 3:			
i. The proposed action may result in the destruction or alteration of all or part of the site or property.	E3e, E3g, E3f	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
ii. The proposed action may result in the alteration of the property's setting or integrity.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E1a, E1b	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
iii. The proposed action may result in the introduction of visual elements which are out of character with the site or property, or may alter its setting.	E3e, E3f, E3g, E3h, C2, C3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

11. Impact on Open Space and Recreation			
The proposed action may result in a loss of recreational opportunities or a reduction of an open space resource as designated in any adopted municipal open space plan. (See Part 1. C.2.c, E.1.c., E.2.q.) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 12.</i>		<input checked="" type="radio"/> NO	<input type="radio"/> YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in an impairment of natural functions, or "ecosystem services", provided by an undeveloped area, including but not limited to stormwater storage, nutrient cycling, wildlife habitat.	D2e, E1b, E2h, E2m, E2o, E2n, E2p	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action may result in the loss of a current or future recreational resource.	C2a, E1c, C2c, E2q	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action may eliminate open space or recreational resource in an area with few such resources.	C2a, C2c, E1c, E2q	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. The proposed action may result in loss of an area now used informally by the community as an open space resource.	C2c, E1c	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. Other impacts: _____		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

12. Impact on Critical Environmental Areas			
The proposed action may be located within or adjacent to a critical environmental area (CEA). (See Part 1. E.3.d) <i>If "Yes", answer questions a - c. If "No", go to Section 13.</i>		<input checked="" type="radio"/> NO	<input type="radio"/> YES
	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quantity of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action may result in a reduction in the quality of the resource or characteristic which was the basis for designation of the CEA.	E3d	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. Other impacts: _____		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

13. Impact on Transportation

The proposed action may result in a change to existing transportation systems. NO YES
 (See Part 1. D.2.j)
If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", go to Section 14.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. Projected traffic increase may exceed capacity of existing road network.	D2j	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action may result in the construction of paved parking area for 500 or more vehicles.	D2j	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action will degrade existing transit access.	D2j	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. The proposed action will degrade existing pedestrian or bicycle accommodations.	D2j	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. The proposed action may alter the present pattern of movement of people or goods.	D2j	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. Other impacts: _____		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

14. Impact on Energy

The proposed action may cause an increase in the use of any form of energy. NO YES
 (See Part 1. D.2.k)
If "Yes", answer questions a - e. If "No", go to Section 15.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action will require a new, or an upgrade to an existing, substation.	D2k	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action will require the creation or extension of an energy transmission or supply system to serve more than 50 single or two-family residences or to serve a commercial or industrial use.	D1f, D1q, D2k	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action may utilize more than 2,500 MWhrs per year of electricity.	D2k	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. The proposed action may involve heating and/or cooling of more than 100,000 square feet of building area when completed.	D1g	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. Other Impacts: _____			

15. Impact on Noise, Odor, and Light

The proposed action may result in an increase in noise, odors, or outdoor lighting. NO YES
 (See Part 1. D.2.m., n., and o.)
If "Yes", answer questions a - f. If "No", go to Section 16.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may produce sound above noise levels established by local regulation.	D2m	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action may result in blasting within 1,500 feet of any residence, hospital, school, licensed day care center, or nursing home.	D2m, E1d	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action may result in routine odors for more than one hour per day.	D2o	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

d. The proposed action may result in light shining onto adjoining properties.	D2n	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. The proposed action may result in lighting creating sky-glow brighter than existing area conditions.	D2n, E1a	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. Other impacts: _____		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

16. Impact on Human Health

The proposed action may have an impact on human health from exposure to new or existing sources of contaminants. (See Part 1.D.2.q., E.1. d. f. g. and h.)

NO

YES

If "Yes", answer questions a - m. If "No", go to Section 17.

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action is located within 1500 feet of a school, hospital, licensed day care center, group home, nursing home or retirement community.	E1d	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The site of the proposed action is currently undergoing remediation.	E1g, E1h	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. There is a completed emergency spill remediation, or a completed environmental site remediation on, or adjacent to, the site of the proposed action.	E1g, E1h	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. The site of the action is subject to an institutional control limiting the use of the property (e.g., easement or deed restriction).	E1g, E1h	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. The proposed action may affect institutional control measures that were put in place to ensure that the site remains protective of the environment and human health.	E1g, E1h	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. The proposed action has adequate control measures in place to ensure that future generation, treatment and/or disposal of hazardous wastes will be protective of the environment and human health.	D2t	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g. The proposed action involves construction or modification of a solid waste management facility.	D2q, E1f	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h. The proposed action may result in the unearthing of solid or hazardous waste.	D2q, E1f	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
i. The proposed action may result in an increase in the rate of disposal, or processing, of solid waste.	D2r, D2s	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
j. The proposed action may result in excavation or other disturbance within 2000 feet of a site used for the disposal of solid or hazardous waste.	E1f, E1g, E1h	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
k. The proposed action may result in the migration of explosive gases from a landfill site to adjacent off site structures.	E1f, E1g	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
l. The proposed action may result in the release of contaminated leachate from the project site.	D2s, E1f, D2r	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
m. Other impacts: _____			

17. Consistency with Community Plans

The proposed action is not consistent with adopted land use plans.

(See Part 1. C.1, C.2. and C.3.)

If "Yes", answer questions a - h. If "No", go to Section 18.

NO

YES

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action's land use components may be different from, or in sharp contrast to, current surrounding land use pattern(s).	C2, C3, D1a E1a, E1b	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action will cause the permanent population of the city, town or village in which the project is located to grow by more than 5%.	C2	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action is inconsistent with local land use plans or zoning regulations.	C2, C2, C3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. The proposed action is inconsistent with any County plans, or other regional land use plans.	C2, C2	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. The proposed action may cause a change in the density of development that is not supported by existing infrastructure or is distant from existing infrastructure.	C3, D1c, D1d, D1f, D1d, E1b	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. The proposed action is located in an area characterized by low density development that will require new or expanded public infrastructure.	C4, D2c, D2d D2j	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g. The proposed action may induce secondary development impacts (e.g., residential or commercial development not included in the proposed action)	C2a	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
h. Other: _____		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

18. Consistency with Community Character

The proposed project is inconsistent with the existing community character.

(See Part 1. C.2, C.3, D.2, E.3)

If "Yes", answer questions a - g. If "No", proceed to Part 3.

NO

YES

	Relevant Part I Question(s)	No, or small impact may occur	Moderate to large impact may occur
a. The proposed action may replace or eliminate existing facilities, structures, or areas of historic importance to the community.	E3e, E3f, E3g	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
b. The proposed action may create a demand for additional community services (e.g. schools, police and fire)	C4	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
c. The proposed action may displace affordable or low-income housing in an area where there is a shortage of such housing.	C2, C3, D1f D1g, E1a	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
d. The proposed action may interfere with the use or enjoyment of officially recognized or designated public resources.	C2, E3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
e. The proposed action is inconsistent with the predominant architectural scale and character.	C2, C3	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
f. Proposed action is inconsistent with the character of the existing natural landscape.	C2, C3 E1a, E1b E2g, E2h	<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>
g. Other impacts: _____		<input type="radio"/>	<input type="radio"/>

Project: _____

Date: _____

Full Environmental Assessment Form
Part 3 - Evaluation of the Magnitude and Importance of Project Impacts
and
Determination of Significance

Part 3 provides the reasons in support of the determination of significance. The lead agency must complete Part 3 for every question in Part 2 where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.

Based on the analysis in Part 3, the lead agency must decide whether to require an environmental impact statement to further assess the proposed action or whether available information is sufficient for the lead agency to conclude that the proposed action will not have a significant adverse environmental impact. By completing the certification on the next page, the lead agency can complete its determination of significance.

Reasons Supporting This Determination:

To complete this section:

- Identify the impact based on the Part 2 responses and describe its magnitude. Magnitude considers factors such as severity, size or extent of an impact.
- Assess the importance of the impact. Importance relates to the geographic scope, duration, probability of the impact occurring, number of people affected by the impact and any additional environmental consequences if the impact were to occur.
- The assessment should take into consideration any design element or project changes.
- Repeat this process for each Part 2 question where the impact has been identified as potentially moderate to large or where there is a need to explain why a particular element of the proposed action will not, or may, result in a significant adverse environmental impact.
- Provide the reason(s) why the impact may, or will not, result in a significant adverse environmental impact
- For Conditional Negative Declarations identify the specific condition(s) imposed that will modify the proposed action so that no significant adverse environmental impacts will result.
- Attach additional sheets, as needed.

Impact on Land

Will the proposed action result in a physical change to the project site?

The communications facilities will have a small to moderate impact on land. The total project area per site involves the disturbance of less than one acre of land, primarily only ± 0.25-0.50 acres. Where a new site access road is needed, then a gravel and crushed stone road will be built for site access. A right-of-way easement will be 30±ft. wide for the access road and utility easements where applicable. Utilities will be installed underground within a trench running along access roads or the shortest route to nearest existing service point.

Impact on Agricultural Land Resources

Will the Proposed Action affect agricultural land resources?

The proposed project involves some lands within agricultural districts. These sites are located at Hawkins Hill Road and Old State Road. The total lands leased will adhere to agricultural and markets regulations per USDA guidelines. The proposed new sites within agricultural districts are not actively farmed therefore, no impacts will occur. The total project area per site involves the disturbance of less than one acre of land, primarily only ± 0.25-0.50 acres.

Impact on Aesthetic Resources

Will proposed action affect aesthetic resources?

There are six (6) locations where new radio tower sites will be constructed. C&S will subcontracted a cultural resources specialist to review the proposed action consistent with Section 106 of the National Historic Preservation Act of 1966 to identify whether or not the proposed action has the potential to impact any properties in, or eligible for inclusion in, the National Register of Historic Places; as well as to determine if any significant scientific, prehistoric, historic, archaeological, or paleontological resources would be lost or diminished as a result of the proposed project. Their findings determined that there are no effects upon historical properties. Further, this information was submitted to New York States Historic Preservation Office (SHPO) for review. SHPO to date, has concurred with our findings of no effects upon historical properties. All efforts will be made to reduce and mitigate potential impacts that the new wireless service facility may have. It is acknowledged that certain constraints (i.e. technical, economic, geographic) may hinder the ability to reduce the magnitude of some environmental impacts.

Determination of Significance - Type 1 and Unlisted Actions

SEQR Status: Type 1 Unlisted

Identify portions of EAF completed for this Project: Part 1 Part 2 Part 3

Upon review of the information recorded on this EAF, as noted, plus this additional support information and considering both the magnitude and importance of each identified potential impact, it is the conclusion of the Broome County as lead agency that:

A. This project will result in no significant adverse impacts on the environment, and, therefore, an environmental impact statement need not be prepared. Accordingly, this negative declaration is issued.

All efforts will be made to reduce and mitigate potential impacts the new wireless service facilities may have.

B. Although this project could have a significant adverse impact on the environment, that impact will be avoided or substantially mitigated because of the following conditions which will be required by the lead agency:

There will, therefore, be no significant adverse impacts from the project as conditioned, and, therefore, this conditioned negative declaration is issued. A conditioned negative declaration may be used only for UNLISTED actions (see 6 NYCRR 617.d).

C. This Project may result in one or more significant adverse impacts on the environment, and an environmental impact statement must be prepared to further assess the impact(s) and possible mitigation and to explore alternatives to avoid or reduce those impacts. Accordingly, this positive declaration is issued.

Name of Action: Broome County Emergency Communication Systems Improvements Project.

Name of Lead Agency: Broome County

Name of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency: Jerry F. Marinch

Title of Responsible Officer: Director

Signature of Responsible Officer in Lead Agency:

Date:

Signature of Preparer (if different from Responsible Officer): _____


Robert N. Duclos, P.E., Vice President, C&S

Date: 4/15/16

For Further Information:

Contact Person: Robert N. Duclos, P.E.

Address: 499 Col. Eileen Collins Blvd.

Telephone Number: 315-455-2000

E-mail: bduclos@cscos.com

For Type 1 Actions and Conditioned Negative Declarations, a copy of this Notice is sent to:

Chief Executive Officer of the political subdivision in which the action will be principally located (e.g., Town / City / Village of)

Other involved agencies (if any)

Applicant (if any)

Intro No. 32
Date 5/19/16
Reviewed by LS
Co. Attorney
Date 5/3/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: County Administration, and Public Safety & Emergency Services Committees

RESOLUTION CALLING ON THE STATE OF NEW YORK TO FULLY REIMBURSE COUNTIES FOR DISTRICT ATTORNEY SALARY INCREASES SET BY THE STATE

WHEREAS, on December 24, 2015, the New York State Commission on Legislative, Judicial, and Executive Compensation voted to recommend increasing all state judge salaries in 2016 and 2018, and

WHEREAS, this salary increase recommendation occurred well after all counties set their 2016 budgets in law, and

WHEREAS the recommended increase placed Supreme Court judges' salaries at \$193,000 in 2016 and \$203,000.00 in 2018 and placed County Court Judges at 95% of a Supreme Court Justice's salary, and

WHEREAS, on April 1st the state approved the Commission's recommendation, and

WHEREAS, State Judiciary Law 183-a establishes that county district attorneys' (DA's) salaries, in a county such as Broome, are to be equal to or higher than the County Court Judge in the county, and

WHEREAS, for over 50 years, the state has funded all salary increases that they imposed on the counties, and

WHEREAS, DA's are entitled to the compensation they are owed pursuant to state law for fulfilling the state constitutional and statutory duties related to the enforcement of the state penal law, and

WHEREAS, on April 1, 2016 the State Legislature enacted a \$150 billion State Budget, but did not include the funding for the \$1.6 million in reimbursement costs for the increase in DA salaries, and

WHEREAS, the state has been careful over the past few years to avoid shifting costs to the local tax base, mindful of the impact locally with the state imposed property tax cap, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the County of Broome calls on the State of New York to immediately pass legislation and pay for this increase retroactive to April 1, 2016, and not pass this unfunded mandate on to local taxpayers, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the Clerk of the Legislature shall forward a certified copy of the resolution to Governor Andrew M. Cuomo, Senate Majority Leader John J. Flanagan, Assembly Speaker Carl E. Heastie, Senator Frederick J. Akshar II, Assemblyman Clifford W. Crouch, Assemblyman Christopher S. Friend, Assemblywoman Donna A. Lupardo, and the New York State Association of Counties.

Intro No.

33

Date

5/19/16

Reviewed by
Co. Attorney

[Signature]

Date

4/2/16

RESOLUTION
BROOME COUNTY LEGISLATURE
BINGHAMTON, NEW YORK

Sponsored by: Public Works & Transportation and Finance Committees

RESOLUTION AUTHORIZING THE IMPLEMENTATION AND FUNDING IN THE FIRST INSTANCE 100% OF THE FEDERAL AID AND STATE MARCHISELLI PROGRAM-AID ELIGIBLE COSTS OF A TRANSPORTATION FEDERAL-AID PROJECT (SUPPLEMENTAL AGREEMENT No. 3), AND APPROPRIATING FUNDS THEREFORE

WHEREAS, this County Legislature approved a Project for the Airport Road (County Route 69) pavement rehabilitation, P.I.N. 9753.77 (the "Project") that is eligible for funding under Title 23 U.S. code, as amended, that calls for the apportionment of the costs of such program to be borne at the ratio of 80% Federal funds and 20% non-Federal funds, and

WHEREAS, the County of Broome desires to advance the Project by approving Supplemental Agreement No. 3 and therefore making a commitment to pay in the first instance 100% of the Federal and non-Federal share of the cost for the Preliminary Engineering/Design and Construction and Construction Supervision and Inspection, now, therefore, be it

RESOLVED, that the Broome County Legislature hereby authorizes the County of Broome to pay in the first instance 100% of the Federal and non-Federal share, Supplemental Agreement No. 3, for the Preliminary Engineering/Design and Construction and Construction Supervision and Inspection for the Project or portions thereof, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the total sum of \$7,340,247 is hereby approved pursuant to the 2012 Capital Improvement Program, as amended, and made available to cover the cost of participation in the above phase of the Project, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that in the event the full Federal and non-Federal share costs of the project exceeds the amount appropriated above, the Broome County Legislature shall convene as soon as possible to appropriate said excess amount immediately upon the notification by the New York State Department of Transportation thereof, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that the County Executive of the County of Broome be and is hereby authorized to execute all necessary agreements, certifications or reimbursement requests for Federal Aid and/or Marchiselli Aid on behalf of the County of Broome with the New York State Department of Transportation in connection with the advancement or approval of the Project and providing for the administration of the Project and the municipality's first instance funding of project costs and permanent funding of the local share of Federal-aid and State-aid eligible Project costs and all Project costs within appropriations therefore that are not so eligible, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that a certified copy of this resolution be filed with the New York State Commissioner of Transportation by attaching it to any necessary Agreement in connection with the Project, and be it

FURTHER RESOLVED, that this Resolution shall take effect immediately.